A.ASHIRBAEVA, O.YUSUPOV, N.YULDASHEVA, M.ORIPOVA

TILI O'RGANILAYOTGAN MAMLAKAT ADABIYOTLAR TARIXI



«HISTORY AND PAGE» TOSHKENT-2022 AXBOROT RESURS MARKAZI

O'ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI OLIY TA'LIM, FAN VA INNOVATSIYALAR VAZIRLIGI CHIRCHIQ DAVLAT PEDAGOGIKA UNIVERSITETI

(DARSLIK)

ADABIYOT TARIXI

ASHIRBAEVA AYTKUL, OYBEK YUSUPOV, VULDASHEVA NODIRA, MUXABBAT ORIPOVA

O'RTA MAXSUS TA'LIM VAZIRLIGI

CHRICHIQ DAVLAT PEDAGOGIKA UNIVERSITETI

		1
	Количество предыдущих выдач	Книга возврац указание
	infurentiadire of	Книга должна быть возвращена не нозже указаниого здесь срока
	Anthox	POKS

A - 93 **EEK 81.2** УДК 81;821

Taqrizchilar:

davlat pedagogika universiteti professori, p.f.f.d. (PhD) Z.Abdujabborova - Nizomiy nomidagi Toshkent

instituti professori, p.f.d. (DSc). U.Qarshibayeva - Samarqand davlat chet tillari

ISBN 978-9943-9240-1-7

PREFACE

in turbul commentary on English pronunciation in its relation to if the Fuglish language from ancient times to the Middle English **TWO IS NOT** interview phonetics either. It is rather in the nature of a brief multil the book does not claim to be a regular textbook of English This volume is an attempt to outline the historical development

undermathates at English language departments of Universities and in addition to the existing English language history manuals, by interview explanation of Modern English reading rules and the diminitie features of present-day English. induced institutes, as well as by any person interested in with at accordary school and college level. But it can also be used, stullar reading of some individual words, or in the origin of some the book is designed primarily for use by teachers of English,

even for first-year students. attenuely sparing use of special terms, makes the book intelligible in human study of the language. Simplicity of presentation, with mulating the student's inquiring thought and arousing interest in in peculiar reading of individual words, while at the same time in popularities of present-day English spelling, the sound values of iter and letter combinations in the English language of today, and It is designed to satisfy their natural curiosity about the reasons

finanthout to make clear their relevance to the facts of present-day things in the English language of today, and it has been attempted multilitied in so far as they have a close bearing on the state of in not hintorical study for its own sake. Historical developments are walultum of the phonetic system of English. However, the purpose TANK PARTY. The reader may gain a general idea as to the historical

mahumulvely. Strict limitations of space inevitably entailed a highly minutive approach but every attempt has been made to avoid the then of development and even these could not be treated tumpleteness. As an introduction it is only concerned with the main A book of this length can, of course, make no claim to

consequent danger of arbitrariness in the choice of items to be dealt with. Considerations of space also played a part in the presentation of the data.

Coherent accounts of the state of the language in earlier historical periods, however desirable for a variety of reasons, especially for a fuller picture of the whole system of the language at a particular developmental stage, of its various sub-systems and of the interrelations between them, would not only have been impossible for reasons of space and other objective reasons but

The method chosen seems to be a perfectly legitimate procedure if one's main concern is the historical foundations of English, Middle English rather than the 'grammatical systems' of Old hoped that this procedure, although requiring additional effort on the part of the student and the careful use of the glossary, will contribute to a deeper understanding of the matter under discussion.

The author is convinced that this manual will be helpful in the would-be English teachers' vocational training inasmuch as it furnishes precisely the kind of information on the historical background of present-day English pronunciation and spelling that is of value in the practical teaching of the language. It can be used in tutorial work on History of English, English Lexicology, in teaching reading and spelling rules.

INTRODUCTION

In answering the questions posed in this book we shall have to offer more than once to various times in the history of the English harmony, and to some events in the history of the English people which are of especial importance for the development of the harmony and its spelling. It seems better to say a few words here, with all the beginning of the book, about language as a social harmony, about the main periods in the history of English and the harmony of events of which some knowledge is necessary for explaining the origins of certain peculiarities of present-day English and over and over and over another than speak about the same things over and over

Language is a social phenomenon. It originates and develops in the process of social interaction between the members of a munity and is, thus, "genetically and functionally connected with man's practical social activity"[2]. Language activity itself, the of language in its various social functions, represents a munitary kind of social activity. In order to fulfil its basic functions and intrument of thought and communication and to satisfy the munitarity needs of a more or less differentiated speech munitary, language must possess the properties of variability and parametery, or, in other words, exhibit 'orderly differentiation' or multiple heterogeneity'.

Differentiated needs of communication require differentiated and of means of expression provided by a differentiated language system. The linguistic system of communication underlying happing activity in a complex community has, therefore, also been how the day "an orderly heterogeneous system in which choice how con linguistic alternants carries out social and stylistic handloons"[3].

There is not only 'dialectal variation' and 'stylistic' (including 'uncloual') variation in the language of a non-homogeneous speech community at every stage of its existence. Language also is a very variable social phenomenon in the sense that it varies through time. For language to keep functioning as an efficient instrument of

mutual communication among the members of a continually changing society, it must constitute an 'orderly heterogeneous system' which is non-static, or dynamic, and 'open' in character (and thus distinguished from a 'closed' and static system).

Historical variation or change is a necessary characteristic of any living language and may "at least to a considerable extent", be said to be "due to an incessant adaptation of the means of expression to the ... everchanging ..., ever-increasing communicative needs obtaining in the given language community"[4]. Qualitative as well as quantitative changes in the needs of communication for their part — sometimes also called 'expressive and communicative needs' must obviously be considered as in some way or other resulting from changes in society, in the social life of the language community in question. Language history can, therefore, not be separated from social history.

It is undoubtedly true that further detailed studies are needed before a full picture of the relation between social and linguistic changes in the evolution of individual languages such as English can be given[5]. But this in no way invalidates the thesis of the existence of close and complex relations between the historical development of language and the socio-historical development of the language community.

Socio-historical conditions or changes affecting the requirements of linguistic communication to be met by the language system no doubt include (changes in) the socio-economic groupings, social stratification or social class structure of the community and the relationships between the classes as determined by (changes of) the character of the social system, the coming into existence of new social classes or groups and the passing out of existence of others in the course of the rise of special socioeconomic conditions, and the rise to power of new-classes as the outcome of social revolutions of transformations.

They also include changes in the importance of geographical factors (in comparison to socioeconomic factors) resulting from (changes in) the degree of political and economic unity of the country, such as the development of 'centralized nation States', for

> community and its territorial expansion. This is clear for example in the tremendous increase of the size of the English language community from about one and a half million speakers in the late eleventh century to more than three hundred million people peoking English as a first language in the United Kingdom, the United States, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and onne other parts of the former British Empire, in the last quarter of the twentieth century.

Other social factors influencing the conditions of communication prevailing in a particular language community and/or producing changed communicative needs comprise changes of the importance of rural and urban communities within the society in question as a consequence of (socio-)economic (and cultural) changes. This is exemplified by the development of the productive burges are a consequence of (socio-)economic (and cultural) changes are a consequence of (socio-)economic (and cultural) changes. This is exemplified by the development of the productive burges are a consequence of (socio-)economic (and cultural) changes are a consequence of (socio-)economic (and cultural) changes. This is exemplified by the development of the productive burges are a consequence of (socio-)economic (and cultural) changes are been and the accompanying burges are a consequence of (socio-)economic (and cultural) contents of the same language in urban industrial areas.

There are also changes caused by increasing ease of travel and communication between the various parts of the country as an outcome of technical developments (such as those effected, for example, by the introduction of printing or of the modern mass media, the building of railways and motorways, aeroplanes, etc.) or cultural changes (connected with socioeconomic changes) such as the spread of literacy among the members of the speech community.

The socio-economic differentiation within society and with it the differentiation of the language community into 'communicative communities' (groups of individuals interacting both socially and hypotheally) is essentially influenced also by the (ever-increasing) buyers of division of labour in the course of the development of the productive forces of society. Technological progress, the rise and development of the modern natural and social sciences, the transmological and scientific revolution, and the phenomena necompanying them, all greatly increase the diversity of social

processes or activities in which language plays a part. They lead to a continual extension of the functions that language serves in the society in question and thus create, among other things, new requirements with regard to the functional (or 'stylistic') variability of the language.

The same holds true of many other changes in the historical development of society.

Of especial importance in the history of the English language — but by no means confined to it — were so-called 'contactsituations. The broad scale of 'inter-language contacts', to use the more precise term, includes direct contacts between speakers of different — related or unrelated — languages as well as indirect language contacts. It ranges from direct contacts of the most intensive kind - such as the seisure and settlement of a foreign territory, or foreign invasions, leading to the coexistence of time and the formation of bilingual (or multilingual) communicative contacts resulting from the development of foreign trade relations, the exploration of foreign lands, colonial conquests, foreign travel or exposure to foreign cultures (as in the course of

Christianization). It also includes indirect contacts via foreignlanguage learning and education, cultural exchange with other countries or other kinds of cultural encounter, increased international communication, and so on.

Close direct language contacts such as those mentioned above, mostly result in more or less widespread 'inter-language' (or 'crosslanguage') borrowing, especially on the lexico-semantic level. The historical events giving rise to them may have further linguistic effects. They may, for instance, lead to the introduction of a second or third language as a means of official communication and/or in other uses and thus create special 'outer' conditions of development for the native language by temporarily restricting its functions in the political and cultural life of the society in question. This happened, for example, in medieval England after the Norman Conquest.

neuron research has stressed the importance of 'intra-language contacts' as a constant source of language change.

standard form of the language. int purticular regional, social, or socio-regional dialects into the the example, in the spread of certain non-standard linguistic features the same language), or 'inter-social borrowing'. They may consist, muphological, syntactic, or lexical borrowing between dialects of "Surveyers, champes of this kind have been defined as 'intra-language highly differentiated language communities). Contact induced immunicative communities (a phenomenon by no means rare in membership of one and the same individual in several Impunge varieties) and must be said to exist likewise in the cases of valuus social classes or groups (as users of socially differentiated the process of social and linguistic interaction between members of unumence of geographically mixed communities. They also arise in queakers of particular regional or socio-regional varieties and mightouring regional dialects) or migration of a larger number of musimity (naturally given for certain areas in the case of humanite may, for example, result from close geographical Munitions of contact between co-existing varieties of the same 'inter-dialectal borrowing (phonological,

It is certainly valid with regard to the complex problem of individual of changes, to say that linguistics is still very far from a fully worked-out theory of language change which would enable us to define precisely the conditioning factors or combination of individual phonological, morphological, syntactic or lexicoterior changes in the course of the historical development of a

There is scarcely any doubt that certain changes in the structure of the language system can be attributed more directly to the influence of social factors than others and that social factors cannot the without any constraint. One will, therefore, obviously have attend and constraints both from society and from the structure of

language"[6], that social and intra-linguistic factors are closely interrelated in the development of language.

Language development - like social development - has to be considered a dialectically contradictory process. Since communicative efficiency, the functioning of language as an adequate means of communication, must be guaranteed at every phase of its existence, language is constantly exposed to conflicting tendencies. The most important of these are the tendency toward linguistic innovation or alteration springing from 'external' as well as 'internal' forces and the counter-tendency directed at maintaining the stability of the system, its – relative - balance or equilibrium, also called the dialectic of development and stability as a necessary condition of language activity.

principles of economy. as well as levelling and regularization tendencies stimulated by other kinds of 'stylistic') variability of the language to be increased sufficient accuracy. They comprise tendencies for the (functional or different in kind and difficult to separate from each other with as already existent needs of communication are obviously widely optimization of the linguistic system. Such tendencies to make language a more efficient means of satisfying newly arisen as well efficiency' and thus forming part of a general tendency toward like these have been described as serving to increase 'functional use of lexical items, or other kinds of lexical change. Modifications of a single generation - in the lexicon, in semantic development of words, new word formations, linguistic borrowings, falling out of most clearly traced - and distinctively observed even in the lifetime language. The action of social conditioning factors can therefore be directly reacted to in the semantic sub-system and the lexicon of a Changes in the communicative requirements are no doubt most

Tendencies which might be called 'economically motivated' include those which are directed towards reduction of what in the course of the history of a language may be said to have developed into a functionally uneconomic diversity of formal means or grammatical rules (whose motivation is no longer felt by the members of the speech community). There are also other tendencies

> in docume the over-all effort necessary to achieve particular documentative effects (in a more comprehensive sense perhaps index perception, or for learning the language).

Indencies of the first kind, like those towards reducing the indencies of means avail-able in the system for the expression of one (grammatical) function (for example, in the case of the under dutinguishing contrasts of the nouns), which culminate in for the establishment of a 'one form - one function' toward the reduction of redundancy (or redundant toward the reduction of redundancy (or redundant toward the very powerful (and were so in the history toward in they must not be understood as forces whose might ultimately result in complete elimination of

Redundancy is found in all languages and must obviously even the considered as necessary to a certain extent for the functional inneure, and a certain amount of redundancy has a value as muunice against noise"[7].

Oplimization tendencies' may also be said to be operating, for simple, in the case of semantic or stylistic differentiation of infinity more or less synonymous native and 'borrowed' lexical or in other changes in the lexico-semantic area as well as in bouchow represents the opposite of simplifying tendencies: the out of linguistic subsystems, alteration of existing patterns, brought about by the development of new morphological or brought of instance, in the verbal system of English), by rule alternation (in contrast to rule simplification), and so on.

The phenomena described as due to simplification embrace what has traditionally been called 'analogical replacements' (for hopmony of occurrence by higher-frequency forms with a low(er) man function, such as number (plural) markers, (past) tense

markers' and others). Such 'analogical changes' are sometimes attributed to the 'tendency to balance or symmetry'. The same might be done in the case of other linguistic developments already mentioned. It seems perfectly justified also to characterize certain changes in the phonological sub-system or in other areas as ultimately aimed at the establishment or restoration, respectively, of systemic balance.

Language, as is generally accepted, does not represent "a perfectly fautless, completely balanced ... system"[8]. It contains, at any stage of its development, elements not (yet) fully integrated into the system (i.e. innovations) as well as elements which no longer form an essential part of the system but are, in a certain sense, relics of earlier developmental stages (as, for instance, certain inflectional forms still to be found in (formal) Standard English, but discarded in informal standard or nonstandard English, respectively).

The presence of such 'disharmonious elements' as well as of 'holes' (or gaps) in the system, sometimes described as the 'weak systemic points' or the 'weak spots in the system' of the language or in particular sub-systems of it, has been said to be a source of dynamic tension' or of 'pressure from the system', or to give rise to 'tendencies for-structural imbalances to be corrected and for holes in a pattern to be filled'.

Certain linguistic changes may, thus, be described as either putting the functional equilibrium of language as a communicative system under stress or as bringing the system (or particular subsystems) into a new balance, etc.

The causes or reasons why such changes occur at a given time in the history of that language (or why they do not occur at all in spite of the existence of such 'weak spots') can, however, obviously not be found in the linguistic system itself but have to be looked for in the history of the language commity.

But it can also mean establishing (or re-establishing) balance or symmetry by interaction of changes in different sub-systems of the language. Balancing effects may, thus, also be achieved, for example, by inter-connected developments in the syntactic and morphological subsystems, which may result (as in the case of

I multah) in one of these sub-systems being developed ' at the

It has been pointed out that to understand the nature of perturbation of systemic balance or not), it is necessary to the them in the larger 'context' of the 'system' (directly) affected by the them in the larger 'context' of the 'system' (directly) affected by the them in the larger of cases even this will not be sufficient, the theorem insight can often only be gained if due attention is the interrelationships which hold between the various subment of a language, and if "changing syb-systems" are, "as interpretent and interacting parts of a whole"[9].

CHAPTER ONE

SOME ASPECTS OF THE HISTORY OF THE LANGUAGE, COMMUNITY FROM THE ANGLO-SAXON INVASION OF BRITAIN TO THE END OF THE MIDDLE AGES

And now let us dwell upon the historical periods of the English language. The history of the English language begins in the 5th century, when the so-called Anglo-Saxon tribes, coming over from the North Sea coast of Europe, settled in Britain. The speech of each tribe differed somewhat from that of the others, but not much really. It can be said that they all spoke slightly different forms (dialects) of one and the same language - Anglo-Saxon or Old English.

Still, according to the historians, the earliest men inhabiting Britain are considered to be Iberians. The fact is that at the dawn of their history the peoples on this planet lived in primitive societies. These primitive peoples, wherever they lived, began their long path of progress with stone tools, but they did not reach the same level of civilization at the same time in different countries. The ancient civilizations of Greece and Rome were already in existence when the people living in Britain were only at the first stage of social development. So far, it's important now to learn more about the ancient inhabitants of the British Isles.

ENGLAND IN THE PERIOD OF ANCIENT HISTORY

The Pre-Celtic Period

Early maps show a world in which Britain is a remote outpost, a shapeless group of islands in the ocean.

But in some of these maps their South-western coast is close to the North of Spain. This fact shows that centuries before the making of any maps that have survived, Britain lay not outside the world but on a regular and frequent trade route which linked Mediterranean civilization with the amber-bearing North. It was by these long sea route (and not across the Channel) that civilization first reached these shores. In Cornwall, Ireland and along the coast of Wales and

> sturment there are the monuments left by Iberian or Megalithic mensturment had and peopled Britain between 3000 and 200 years B. C.

At this time the land subsidence which had begun a thousand it is work earlier was still going on, and the apparently shorter and alter time up Channel and along European coast was closed, as the those were narrow, shifting, shoaling and swept by rapid the the perhaps, the first reason for the settlement of Iberian the thin is.

the final fille is known about these Iberians of the New Stone Age, and that may be guessed since they have left their mark upon the of the land more clearly than either Celt, Roman or Saxon, the fille of the British Isles, especially in Ireland, Wales, and the of Ungland. As far as historical research could establish, the ubblumts of the British Isles were nomadic Stone Age A small, dark, longheaded race they settled especially on bulk run their trackways, which are now oldest and most (toppromot), the great earthworks such as crown Cissbury (toppromot), the great earthworks such as crown Cissbury bulk out the stone circles. It is from these monuments and the ubblure that we can guess what manner of people these

The size and the splendour of their monuments speak of a numerous and well organized people. Thousands must have worked upother to raise a great earthworks, and the trackways link ethonout to settlement **in an orderly fashion** (надежно).

In downland terraces indicate an intensive agriculture carried on with hot and spade. There was a certain specialisation and division of labour which enable them to mine and work flints (добывать проминь и инготовлять из него орудия) that were traded all over the country.

More direct evidence of the social structure of the Iberians is the long burrows. Often over 200 feet in length, these barrows were build places and prove the existence of sharply marked class iteration. On the one hand there must have been chiefs or nobles,

cheap, possibly servile labour was available for such works. people important enough to demand such elaborate funeral arrangements, and on the other, an abundance of the men whom

constructed for defensive purposes. inside the rampart, and not outside as it would be if they had been unearthed of an earlier date than the first Celtic invasions in the Late Bronze Age; and the downland earthworks usually have the ditch unwarlike. Few finds that can be classed as weapons have been Finally there is some evidence that Iberian culture was mainly

sea between Britain and Spain and even to the Mediterranean between New Stone and Early Bronze Ages. known is uncertain, since it is difficult to draw any clear line shows that a considerable trade went on along the track ways and by Whether metals, other than gold which was mined in Ireland, were The diffusion, of certain types of implements and utensily

entirely on the bounty of nature. They must have lived on what the plain. arable patches on the slopes of downs converging on Salisbury passed over to agriculture the first farmers had to cultivate some woods, the ocean and the rivers had to offer. When they finally growth that covered nearly the whole of the land, had to rely tools to cope with the impassable woods and wild tangled bush chalk hills. The palaeolithic population, unable with their rude stone They probably lived in the dry caves of the limestone and

or nuge mounds covering hut-like structures of stone slabs. Scotland are found to be either long barrows, that is, manmade hills, newcomers must have been a Mediterranean people. Their burial geographical position of the land that attracted the newcomers: the places in Cornwall, in Ireland, in the coastal regions of Wales and Peninsula between what later came to be Ireland and Britain. Those Scandinavia, rich in trade amber, lay straight from the Iberian way of Mediterranean civilization across the North Sea to Picts with whom newcomers started merging. It was the Historians refer to the original population as the Scots and

rather well organized people: tools more sophisticated than stone Thus one is led to think of them as of very numerous and

> multiment at their disposal. managed in all those giant-like feats with only that primitive in the depict of excavations, so the newcomers must have been very makes and mattocks do not seem to have been found in the mul future to be able to feed a huge crowd of stone-hewers

number of their civilization. from the Mediterranean areas, their territorial distribution there, the (10) H.C.) and the reasons of their migration to the British Isles mount to us concern the time (the time is usually given as around that the Late Stone Age population of Britain, those of special Among the suppositions made by historians and archaeologists

and an haeological reasons to claim them for their forefathers. modern inhubitants of Western England and Wales and Ireland have the Cotta wolds, the Sussex and Dorset downs and the Chilterns. they were joined after a few centuries by some similar southern require who settled along the whole of the western coast, so that the these people are thought to have settled on the chalk hills of

in enthenware drinking vessel called "beaker". the day Folk" for they left a characteristic relic of their civilization, Hundland and Holland. Historians refer to these later immigrants Immulied in the cast, south east and up the Thames Valley, as "the and the sphendour of their burial arrangements can be taken as a sign measured about 1700 B.C. from the east and south-east, from the I class differentiation. An Alpine race came to subdue them, their civilization as the monuments show was quite advanced,

muly had a knowledge of bronze and employed metal tools and NAME OF TAXABLE OF Thuy are believed to have been powerful and stocky, they

AXBOROT RESURS MARKAZI inter supplemented by more article by more article by more article by more article by the second by FAN the function of both races Wass and the second standard the second s mouth They gradually merged with the previous arrivals; in the the thankes Valley. Iberian and Alpine met and fused in the newcomment spread along the East coast, through East Anglia and up with the area which is the focus of all pre-Celtic civilisation in The two peoples were closely related in culture and the

17

A characteristic monument to this civilization, primordially rude and pri-mordially majestic, made mysterious by the clarity obliterating centuries, is the so-called Stonehenge, a sort of sanctuary erected by the abovementioned fusion of peoples on Salisbury Plain about eleven hundred years B.C. or somewhat earlier. This circular structure, or rather semi-circular ruin as it in now, was formed by a mere juxtaposition of tall narrowish slabstanding so as to provide support for the horizontal slab, capping those perpendicular props for all the world like houses built of playing cards by infant architects reckless enough to disregard the seemingly precarious balance of the hanging stones — whence the name of the structure, the "Hanging Stones", Stonehenge.

The structure, however, proved to be quite durable since we are in a position to take pictures of it and wonder about its purpose after all these thirty centuries and more. The purpose was believed to be that of a place of worship, since the circular earthwork around the double horseshoe of the standing and hanging stones did not look like a fortification. The cult was guessed at, and the general supposition placed it as the suncult; the guess was supported by other historical evidence; the geometrikal precision of the structure promoted later hypotheses associating it with astronomical observations. Both guesses may be close to the target, though, for the ancient priests were surely in need of astronomical data to control their less enlightened believers.

Although the respectable level of civilization was reached in the Early and Middle Bronze Ages it was spread over only a small part of Britain. The mountain areas of the West and North were thinly peopled. Much of the lowland area which today is the richest agricultural land was also untouched. These areas were then covered with forests of oak and ash, with a thick underscrub (кустарник). Such forests, on heavy, wet, clay soil were an absolute barrier to men equipped only with stone or even bronze implements. In fact these forests were not seriously attached till the Roman occupation and not finally cleared till the Saxon period. Prehistoric man kept to the dry chalk uplands, not because they were the richest but because

they were the best which he could occupy with the tools that he had

The Celts

In thick dark oak and ash woods, thickets of bushes growing build profusion on the damp clay soil made even the east and hand had that were not mountainous unfit for cultivation all the implements the islanders had to combat the thicket and had with were unwieldy stone axes or soft bronze build by, that was the reason why traces of earlier civilization to build on the treeless slopes of Western downs.

Whole tribes migrated to the Isles, warriors put under Whole tribes migrated to the Isles, warriors put under Later on, with the advent of the Belgae, the heavy introduced, drawn by oxen, so the slopes of downs build only as pasture land, and fertile valleys cleared of cuild be farmed so successfully that soon the south-east dough grain and to spare. It could therefore be exported the Mediterranean and luxuries from those lands the Mediterranean and luxuries austere existence of the loades, rough crockery-making, hide-processing and the

they must have traded with the Phoenicians (whom a student theory finds mentioned in most historical works as professional under of the ancient world); in this case the Phoenicians were the the British tin and lead ("the Tin Islands" they called the Witherlands.

It was a patriarchal clan society based on common ownership at and about the primitive ways of land-tilling began to give way to be determined methods. It was then that social differentiation began to be determined by the social differentiation began to be determined by the social differentiation began to the tilled chiefs to use the labour of the semi-dependent native problem. Along with the accumulation of wealth the top elements

of the clans and tribes showed tendencies of using military force to rob other tribes.

Fortresses were built on hilltops, tribal centers in fact, town began to appear in the more wealthy south-east; true, they were a first no more than large groups of wattle-and-clay houses encircled by a sort of fortified fence. Among the first towns mentioned an such as Verulamium, Camulodunum, Londinium. The population of the towns grew apace. Some of the inhabitants of the continential countries trading with the British Celts, such as the Celts of Gaul, etc. came over to Britain and settled in Kent, contributing to the civilization of that part of Britain since they could teach the British Celts some useful arts. The British craftsmen perfected their skill mostly in bronze work and learned to give an adequate expression to the subtle artisticism of the Celtic spirit. Their characteristic curvilinear design, often a composition in circular shapes, is to be found on weapons, vases, domestic utensils, etc.

The Celts were good warriors, as later invaders had a chance to find out. Celtic war-chariots were famous even beyond the limits of the country. They were reliably built to hold one man standing up to drive and two more to do the fighting.

The chariot itself was a destructive force, the well-trained horses trampling down the enemy and the wheels fixed with sharp knives or swords, rotating with the wheel movement, a grave menace to everything living that chanced to be in the way.

The Celts of the British Isles were heathens until Christianity was brought to them by later invaders, the Romans. Their religion was a weird mixture of heathenism, that is, the worship of certain Gods and Goddesses, with the worship of the Sun and Moon, and of the Serpent, the symbol of wisdom. The priests were called Druids, and their superior knowledge was taken for magic power. Thus, their temples were so superior to the general run of buildings that the believers were sure they had profited by some supernatural assistance in their construction. The Druids themselves must have been well pleased with this sort of reputation and enhanced its spell holding awe-inspiring vigils and observing terrible night rites in

and the second s

the the wore associated with bloody sacrifice usually of much but monotimes human beings, which increased the Druids' and authority over the masses.

It is and of the B.C. era there were attempts at unification. It is an of the Romans' first expedition (the middle of the 1st It c) Canadodunum is believed to have been the capital of the third chief, **Cassivelaun**; some historians mention the word in the connection. With the beginning of our era royal power had of the Britons began to unite great areas. Thus, from 5 is all A.D. the Belgic tribal chief **Cunobelin** (Shakespear's below) united the Celtic tribes of southern Britain under his and called himself, after the Roman fashion, "Rex Britonum" the function is capital, Camulodunum.

In all was surely imitative, for formerly the Celts used rude of multifor coins, and it shows that Roman influence was a full the bolt boltain. It was this king who invited Roman traders a full and bolt of London to his reign (the Celtic phrase Llyntal bolt is believed by some to have given the town its and unbacologists state that the first wooden London bridge bolt of the Caesar's first "reconnaissance" raid in 55 B.C. bolt of the astern luxuries and taking out corn, metals and the pround was prepared for the Roman conquest.

In the eve of the Roman conquest the Brythons were at the int decay corroding the primitive community structure; handle of a new class society were appearing, with patriarchal have a new feature. The rapid economic development of that had to a weakening of the Celtic clan structure and that to a a doment may account for the comparative ease with which the appear was affected.

The Roman Conquest

Many historians attribute the interest that the Romans tool in the British Isles to purely strategic reasons. The thing is, that Gaul at that time but freshly conquered by the Roman Empire, completely subdued and reduced to the status of its province, was restless unduthe Roman yoke and Britain not infrequently figured as a sort of Celtic resistance centre. Other reasons could also be found however. Under the Belgic tribes, with the introduction of the heavy iron plough, agricultural advancement elevated Britain to the position of a major corn-producing country. Now, Rome, more and more parasitical with each decade, wanted food badly - heno Caesar's expedition in 55 B.C. when a 10thousand-strong Roman army was repulsed by the iron-weaponpossessing Celts with the help of the Channel storms.

A year later the expedition was repeated with an increased army of 25 thousand, and Camulodunum, the probable capital, was taken possession of. However, it led to practically nothing more serious than Caesar's departure with Celtic hostages and a promise of ransom which he doesn't seem to have ever returned to claim. But Roman influence, nevertheless, came in other ways than that of military conquest. Trade contacts were developing all through the ninety years separating Caesar's attempted invasion from the actual conquest. That took place in 43 A. D. when the Emperor Claudius sent a 50-thousand strong army which landed in Kent and crossed the Thames. Since that time up to 410 Britain was one of the remote provinces of the Roman Empire. It was military occupation that the Romans established, and it lasted 4 centuries.

The Celtic tribal chiefs must have been sensible enough to sou when they were beaten and so agreed to recognize the Romans as their rulers. That could not be said about the wide masses of the people, though. These openly expressed their discontent caused by the Romans' unabashed and unlimited plunder as well as their endless taxations. In 51 A.D. the wild tribes of the Celtic North headed by **Caradoc** or **Caractacus**, were defeated, and the priests of the Britons, the Druids, were expelled from the island of Monn where they had their religious centre (modern Anglesey off the

> of A.D. when the Celts of what is now Norfolk rallied that in unservented in the celts of what is now Norfolk rallied that in unservented avalanche poured upon the Roman form detachments were annihilated, and Camulodunum, and Londinium were destroyed and burnt down; and Londinium were destroyed and burnt down; build by Boadicea whom the Celts called their and to this brave lady can be viewed as a monument of the portunce in London to-day); she used to rush at the head of freedom-loving Celtic people. After the defeat of the war chariot, with her daughters to fight, at the head the or portune humiliation she took poison together with her

The suppression of the Celts was a hard enough job; it tasked formation leptons to the utmost. Frightened by its scope, the manual have decided to think twice before they violated the people's rights too impudently.

A D, when **Agricola** was the chief Roman governor of A D, he invaded Caledonia and in the battle of Caledonia must have produced a strong impression upon for in 121 A.D. the Emperor Hadrian caused a wall to the Tyne to the Solway Firth, that is, in a line though what is Newcastle today. They had erected another the North. From the Forth to the Clyde the wall of the North. From the Forth to the Clyde the wall of the North (140 A.D.), later called Grime's Dyke.

Include was in those days inhabited by the Scots (some of the multility migrated in their fight against the Romans later) in the other with the Romans made no attempt to subdue Ireland; as when it belonged to the so-called military districts of Roman topology with the other mountainous areas of the north and and uppened to the civil districts of the east and south where the

23

greater part of large towns were located). The mountainous path must have seemed prohibitive, inhabited as they were by thom disobedient Celts who had retreated there to retain thou independence; the same applied to Cornwall, or West Wales as it was called.

So, forts were built at Carleon, Chester and York with a legion in each to ensure the safety of the occupation zone where the town were restored and walled with ditches supplementing the protective power of walls. Thus, for instance, the wall around Londinium built after the Boadicea fright, was about two and a half metres thick at the base. London was made an inland port and lively trade wan concentrated there since Roman Britain exported grain for the neuth of the metropolis and of other Roman provinces as well, skins of wild and domestic animals, tin, pearls - and slaves, too.

London's position was especially fortunate for it was a controof both external and internal trade: the Romans built roads leading to the garrison towns, for they couldn't have kept the country without reliable and efficient means of transportation. Three of those roads converged upon London making it a veritable commercial centre (not administrative centre, however, for though it was by far the largest of the towns, it was not given the Roman municipium status).

There were four principal roads: Ermine Street, leading to Lincoln and York (from York a special road led to Hadrian's Wall) Watling Street from London to Chester; Icknield way connecting London with Cirencester, Gloucester and Caerleon in South Wales, and the Fosse way that passed through the Cotswolds and connected Lincoln with Exeter, the extreme south-western Roman fort.

The roads were certainly an improvement on an otherwise impassable territory (though, of course, they made it accesible for numerous future invaders); the extensive cleared areas along the roads and rivers as well as the general improvement on agriculture that the rapacious Romans introduced using the cheap or practically free provincial labour - all that was no doubt beneficial for Britain's agricultural development.

> Here a sumething to be said for the cultural influence as well: the sum of the step forward as compared to the heathenish the sum of the step forward as handful of Latin words to enrich the sum of the step forward as a standful of the step forward as the step forwar

How were some brutal laws that stayed on after the Romans in the type of the state of the state

the the tool, the imported and therefore superficial civilization with more than skin-deep with the country since it did not be the broad masses of the people to whom it was alien, so it removed as noon as the importers left, which happened four bed your after they came.

the historians who base their observations on the data to the historian life, that is, the life of the roma-nized upper of the hitlish Celts, state that Romanization was completed the Celt forgot they were Britons.

In the old Celtic way of life was preserved, the Celts of the product of the rative customs and traditions from the invaders' production and speaking their Celtic dialects enriched the Latin words like "castra" — military camp (found like Lancaster, Winchester, Chichester, Cirencester, Chator, etc.), "vallum"— wall (Hadrian's Wall, Auto-Will), "via strata" — street (Watting street, Ermine street). Willy British farmers had their lands tilled by slaves in found with these imported arrangements.

the douy of Roman power in Britain became apparent already the field of the 4th c.; the attacks of the wild Celtic tribes from block walls that had sealed off those dangerous areas, were no the field of the have been the Romans' way; the usual grain-laden block in the latter part of the sealed of the metropolis. Finally in 407 orders

25

came for the legions to return. Evidently, the safety of Rome had was in question: its rotten economy based on the sand of slavery, it greed-swollen conquest craze that lured the Romans on to ble off more than they could chew, its claylegged military dictator aggravated by the bickerings of the wouldbe emperors who wen constantly at each other's throat in their scrambling for power, much the great city an easy prey to any westmigrating barbaric tribes lift the Germanic tribes of the period. As it is, there are supposition in the effect that the British Roman ruler of the time, Constantine, was himself eager to try and get the crown for himself, using the legion at his disposal for the purpose. So the Romans left, and failed in return.

The Anglo-Saxon Invasion

The romanized Celts, with little of their former resistance power left after four centuries of enforced reliance upon their Roman masters, were left to their own resources. They had formidable foes both within and without: barbaric Germanic tribus across the North Sea and the unconquered Gaelic Celts of Scotland and Ireland. The latter, the Celtic tribes of the North and West, were bitterly resentful of their enemytamed kinsmen and were fully determined to stage a comeback with a vengeance. They descended upon the now defenceless province and very soon very little was loft of the Roman splendour.

The Gaels of Scotland and Ireland had rehearsed the annihilating effect many times before, overflowing the sealing off walls and having a go at the towns and villas, pillaging and burning down. Thus, London was sacked by the Picts and Scots in 369 A.D. Now they could do it with impunity, laying the civil districts of South Britain waste, which explains to a great extent the rapidity and completeness with which the imported Roman civilization disappeared. The Germanic tribe of the Jutes, believed to have been a Frankish tribe from the lower Rhine reaches, were the first to arrive. They seem to have been in contact with the Romans and were certainly well versed in military matters since they used to serve as hired soldiers in the Roman army. They settled in the

the state of Kent and the state of Kent

And a south of Denmark.

Ind-tillers, living in large kinship groups and the huter of professional warriors to do the fighting. By the huter were beginning to feel important since their horizons. Their first raids to the British Isles, horizons, Their first raids to the British Isles, horizons, plunder and not conquest being the blue of such raids. The desultory raiders in war-bands the blue into Britain at the end of the 4th and early in the horizonal date of their wholesale invasion, however, is

a me elling community: land was common property. The social to the superstructure of the superstructure in all mainly, a territorial one — the village or the township as it a suffect the prevailing form of landownership was characteristic the of the warriors with personal effects, household possessions the auth hy side with the family group there appeared another international television quickened this process of decay, since family in tubus, with wives and children immediately following in the adjust being territorial conquest. They came in family groups this increment that vast Anglo-Saxon hordes poured into Britain, in the liable to be scattered and intermixed in the process. in moving in importance though the military leader still many in a modul unit was beginning to decay since the military in both the normal state of thing, and it was probably as part turn of land ownership (the basis). The very process of much d humall with blood-relations: the migrations of kinship and united implements. The ancient organization of bloodthis is the time when migrations of people in Western Europe

migration, of invading a new country, aided the decay of kinching group structure, formerly homogeneous: it was going to pieces in the process of settling as it was sometimes a whole family mount that settled on a certain land plot dividing it in equal shares and the members while side by side with this there could be a settlement where a military leader grasped a plot of land leaving much smaller plots to his followers, etc.

The conquest must have been ruthless in its character. The barbaric invaders not only annihilated all the remnants of Romm culture, they killed and plundered and laid the country waste. The Celts were mercilessly exterminated. The survivors were only enslaved (those who survived were mostly slaves already, degradation into the slave status by the Romans) or made to retreat to Wala Cornwall and to the North of the island. So they took refuge in the mountainous regions and retained their independence and culture. In Ireland the Celtic tribes separated from the main island by the and never subdued, likewise retained their freedom. They developed crafts and arts showing great skill in metal work, in sculpture and music.

After the first shock even the roma-nized Celts must have rallied to resist. The remoteness of the period makes details hard in obtain, but we do find mention of resistance headed by Ambro-alua Aurelianus early in the 6th c. There were victorious battles when characteristic Celtic endurance must have been displayed to the best advantage but they only served to protract the invasion period for though Anglo-Saxon progress was checked, it was resumed apain later on in the course of the 6th c.

Many of those Celts who did not retreat to Devon and Cornwall, Wales and Cumberland, crossed the channel emigration to the continent to found what is today known as the French province of Brittany where Celtic influence survives in the dialoct customs, etc.

Another brave tribal leader, King Arthur, organized Cellu resistance so as to make it a constant menace to the Anglo-Saxon invaders. The Celts made their faith a weapon in their strugglu against the heathen Germanic invaders. So, king Arthur, the oth

Mortally wounded, as Celtic independence was

and up to 829 English history is the struggle waged Hits threadout were constantly shifting and changing; they struggled minut the political structure of the conquerors' society. There and the mude from ridge to ridge Clothed with his breath, and and inputtion by the necessities of their common settlement mining percential and poignant. Thus the resistance of the brave the training of the start of th and Anthur", Arthur's last remaining knight carries him from a part in the crystallized in moving verse. In Alfred Tennyson's in the multiple later the depth of that feeling could be fathomed and a putulo states which chose separate kings; the borders of and many independent tribal communities. Groups of tribes in the AngloSaxon states after another for power over its of the poem make the tragedy of the conquered people providential the conquest period, which to a great extent the full legends must have been so full of genuine feeling that as he walked Larger than human on the frozen hills ... " The

the Angles and Saxons formed six kingdom of the and the northern and central parts of the island (Northe North between two rivers, the Forth and the Unit Anglia in the East, in what is now Norfolk, Suffolk Combining while and Mercia in the Midlands, between and the still unconquered Wales), and three of the southern part: Sussex and Essex to the south and north

Wessex in the western part of the southern section, with Essex, Kom and Sussex for its eastern neighbours and the Devon peninsula with King Arthur's legendary Celtic stronghold in Cornwall, and unconquered, for its western neighbour.

The Formation of 'Anglo-Saxon' England

Britain, before its southern part was to become *En,la-land*, was country inhabited, by Cellic tribes most of which had for a period almost four hundred years formed it province of the Roman Enquine The first attempts of the Romans to subjugate the island date back far as 55 and 54 B.C. when Roman troops under Julius Canan invaded southeastern Britain. Systematic conquest of the country began about 43 A.D. at the time of the Roman emperor Claudius in the end almost the whole of Britain except Scotland (and other part beyond Hadrian's Wall, a long stone wall built about 121 Attacting the reign of the Roman Emperor Hadrian to protect the province from the inroads of the 'Picts', the Celtic tribes of Caledonia) was brought under Roman control and became the Roman province of Britannia.

Four centuries of Roman occupation had meant far-reaching Romanization, or Latinization, of life in this country, including Christianization of its inhabitants and the establishment of Launbesides Brittonic or British (a sub-branch of Common Celtic from which Welsh, Cornish and Breton are said to have been derived), and the language of administration and law as well as of the Church and possibly, of trade and (at least the second language) of the uppostrata among the urban and rural population of Roman Britain. The Roman period came to an end with the withdrawal of the Roman legions from (the island in the early fifth century when Rome Incluwas threatened by an incursion of the Goths.

The collapse of imperial rule left the country, split up hun various kingdoms under the rule of British princes, virtually without protection against the Picts and Scots beyond the northern fromtion or against invaders from the continent. It was in this situation that armed bands of adventurers from various Germanic tribes began harassing the country and started what was to end up in the conquest

of the second of

the online of Germanic tribesmen bent on the seizure of booming permanent occupants of the conquered in all appearances they were not the first men of the police Britain. Archaeological findings seem no of what was later to become England served as of the Roman army Stationed in Britain. The late the fully century the population of the island in all fully century the population of the island in all

the intermediate of the second second

the interview of the second quarter of the sixth century can, to be relied upon, the British rulers in the early be allowed their Roman precursors in hiring the of a new incursion from beyond the northern of a new incursion from beyond the northern of the call soon multiplied to such an extent (and the dalled them, were no longer able to meet their the dalled them, were no longer able to meet their

the fifth century marked the beginnings of a largeof littluin, from the east and the south, by Germanic in course of time, established a number of fifth and the following centuries quite obviously fifth and the following centuries quite obviously block's Historia ecclesiastica gentis anglorum littluiny of the English People, completed in 731

monastery of Wermouth), or as Angiloi and Frissones in Proceptual of Caesura's account De bello gothico (iv.19).

The is not much difference of opinion concerning the Identity of the first two tribes mentioned in Bede's work to all appearance the **Angles** and the **Saxons** whom **Claudius Ptolemaeus**, writing in the second century, placed in the region between the east bank of the river Elbe and the coastal areas of the Baltic (but who later allo Spread west- and southwards), sometimes more particularly referred to as the **Holstein Saxons**. There is no general agreement on the whether the third tribe whom Bede had in mind, were the **Juten** who gave their name to Jutland and had their Original home in this and or whether they represented another Germanic tribe.

The scholars in favor of this placing assume early migration of (those who were later to become) the *English Jutes* from Jutland in "a position in which there was contact with Frisians to the weat and northwest, Saxons to the east, and Salian Franks lo the south, in probably in southern Holland, north of the lower Rhine"11. The discovery of gravegoods which seem to have been personal possession of 5th century Frankish warriors, points to the possibility of Salian Franks among the fifth-century settlers south of the Thames[12].

That there were contingents of Frisians among the invaduawho lived along the North Sea coastline and islands between the Elbe and the Rhine, is at least suggested by Procopius. What is one of doubt is the existence of close trade relations, after the Conquot between Kentish traders and Frisians in whose hands most of the over-seas trade seems to have been.

Whatever the situation was, the conquest of Britain was quite evidently not a migration of entire continental Germanic tribes but a process which involved numerous, mid often probably, mixed bund of many continental tribes. And yet there seem to have been preponderances among the members of the various tribes who settled in particular regions of the conquered country.

The expeditions effecting the conquest and colonization at most of Britain ultimately led to the development of pollute divisions within the territory of Enzla-lond which quite obviously

> I fullibly The linguistically most relevant division of fullibly The linguistically most relevant division and nouthwestern Enzla-lond. But there were also non-which continued to be linguistically relevant. I number of larger or smaller 'kingdoms' or in various parts of the country. These apparently

that the modern counties of Kent and Surrey, and the the prevailing opinion, founded by conquerors that attiliations but a preponderant Jutish' clement the langdom of the South Saxons bordering, in the the langdom of the South Saxons bordering, in the the langdom of the some historians to have possibly who have been ruled, some time before 560, by the langdom of a mixed force of Saxon, Frisian, built adventurers who successfully established in the area of modern Sussex (or thereabout);

the modern Hertfordshire and at the set with London as its chief town, whose authority at a column and the Wash, in particular - the East Saxon in the war band which "seems" to have "consisted mainly of the the the second territories in Hampshire with another westward the conquerors invading Britain from the Abingdon, and who, before the end of the sixth to have extended their domain further southwards to mine of the fifth century, to judge from archaeological findings the temporary control of southern and central at it whose territory originally comprised southern Hampshire the principality of the Gewisse supposedly founded by the and the limit occupied the lands immediately south of the seen of Numers, in particular the area around Southampton and the late of Wight; - the kingdom of the West Saxons the thin (the Cotswold territory). Separate 'kingdoms' had themes (northern Berkshire), possibly as early as the

Surrey as well as over 'the area of the Middle Saxons (Middle and

- the *East Anglian* kingdom, founded by the rulers of the Han Angles who occupied an area on the south side of the Wash roughly covering modern Norfolk and Suffolk, a kingdom which the room sensational archaeological finds at Sutton Hoo(14), above the estuary of the Deben near Woodbridge (East Suffolk), reveal an maritime power with extensive trading contacts overseas in the seventh century, but whose political importance seems to have rapidly declined since the latter half of this century.

At this early period (before the seventh century) nothing him the very first beginnings can be discovered of what was later in become

The powerful kingdom of *Mercia* in central England, which had in origin in settlements of the Mercians, an Anglian people, in the valley of the Trent, at first politically dependent on the kingdom north of it, whose seventh-century rulers to all appearance succeeded in bringing about a union with the Middle Angles, it group of small tribal units who had settled somewhere south of the Trent.

In the uplands of Lincolnshire other invaders of predominant Anglian origin had, during the early days of the Conquest, found the kingdom of *Lindsey* which politically, however, never played more than a subordinate role and was, for the most time, more up less dependent on its powerful neighbours to the north or mout (west) of it.

Futher up in the north the Germanic invaders, most of them supposedly of Anglian stock, occupied a territory at first split up into two kingdoms: The kingdom of *Deira*, in the area south of the Tees (modern Yorkshire), with its base probably in the East Rollin and the plain of York, and the kingdom of *Bernicia*, north of the Tees, where the new overlords seem to have ruled over hup the British subjects, in the fifth and the sixth century. Towards the out of the sixth century the two dominions were united to form the kingdom of *Northumbria*.

> In the accent century at the end of which by far the outborn Britain lay under the rule of the Germanic Of all the kingdoms and principalities to arise in the compost, only three, namely *Northumbria*, *Mercia* with ultimately to develop into powers of major with of (i). In the southeast, *Kent* had, during the latter and the early seventh century, achieved temporary for the dominance, due, in part at least, to its unique and the to the commercial and intellectual life on the

touched by Kentish traders northward to Mercia and build of the Kentish traders northward to Mercia and proof northwards. As the home of the metropolitan see of Contorbury), founded in the early days of the function of the Anglo-Saxons, Kent also gained considerable of the Anglo-Saxons, Kent also gained considerable of the Anglo-Saxons ike Theodore of Tarsus (669touchury an important centre of learning. However, its full out of the cultural supremacy was soon to become

And the three major kingdoms to win the struggle for Mathumbria, whose seventh-century rulers not only a seventh-century rulers not only in the build of Forth (which remained in English hands build boundary of England) but, for some time, also a south put of overlords of all the southern kingdoms.

the walk of Christianization Northumbria also became the second defendence with renowned intellectual centres such as a contrast landafarne, Monk-wearmouth and Jarrow (where the long series of scientific treatises and biblical well as his famous Ecclesiastical History of the balance of all Whitby, and the school of York, a

35

metropolitan see since 735, which became the leading centre of English scholarship in the eighth century known and respected all over Western Europe. In its cultural achievements Northumbria by far outshone the other kingdoms of England, and cultural the continued to flourish in this area until its rich libraries of manuscripts were ravaged by the Viking invaders of the late multicentury. As a political and military power Northumbria lont in influence over southern England before the end of the ciphucentury and was superseded by Mercia.

ninth century. over central and southern England rested largely with the Merchani until it finally passed to Wessex in the course of the first half of the central England. For almost a hundred and fifty years supremany completely), and also gained authority over East Anglia, Essex and and Middlesex from the East Saxon kingdom, made the kingdom of Surrey. Mercia thus acquired a position of absolute dominance in Lindsey into a Mercian dependency (and finally annexed the formerly West Saxon territory in Berkshire, detached London Gloucestershire east of the Severn and Worcestershire, conquoted Herefordshire, Shropshire, south-west most powerful kingdom. It expanded its territory into Cheshine developed from small beginnings in the valley of the Trent into a and the latter half of the seventh century and thereafter, Manim Under a succession of ambitious rulers in the second quantum Warwickshite

In the 7th century there occurred a considerable westward expansion of the West Saxon dominion which, before the end of the century, resulted in the annexation of the territories of modern Wiltshim, Dorsetshire, Somersetshire and Devonshire and, probably, at least the beginning of English settlements in Cornwall. Loss of authority over originally West-Saxon held Berkshire and the Cotswolds was thus made up for by considerable gains in the South-West.

But the gradual development of *Wessex* into the strongent kingdom England had yet known, did not really begin until after the accession of King Eczbryht (802-839). During his reign the Went Saxons not only gained control over the whole of Cornwall but after victorious battle with the Mercians (825) also annexed Surrey.

> Thanet to Lands End. This was followed somewhat the toovery of Berkshire from the Mercian kings. Only a build of the statis was able to withstand the fierce the Viking armies in the late ninth century and to gain a build on regaining control over the vast areas occupied by (opecially the Danes) that, in the tenth century, the way for the establishment of a new Anglo-Danish state build of Wessex.

What had begun, in the early Conquest period, with the settingwhole number of larger or lesser Germanic kingdoms on the hubble hubble hubble has a mong them, which one after the other hubble hubble in the history of the conquered country, and under pressure of a peculiar situation created by the hubble model up in the unification of all England under a model up in the Southwest (with the exception of the hubble from 1017 to 1042, when the country was ruled hubble from the sons Harold and Harthacnut).

from the time of King Alfred (871-899), and the decline or more than of the northern centres of intellectual life caused by the the more also took the lead in the revival of learning and the more where new intellectual centres developed which a powerful impetus to a rich cultural life further promoted by more tevlval in the latter part of the tenth century."

the Christianization of the 'Anglo-Saxons'

the to make the heathen English accept Christianity date the 597 when Roman missionaries under Augustine below to the nearest kingdom to the continent, which at that below of a leading position in the country. After having a limited success in Kent and Essex, which culminated in

of Lindsey, and East Anglia, but had to discontinue their efforts after the defeat, in 634, of the Northumbrians by the forces of the Mercian king.

Thereafter, Christianization in the North was carried on not by missionaries from Rome but from Iona, an important Cellie (in IrishScottish) centre of Christianity off the West coast of Scotland whence came the Irish missionary Aidan and his followers who, in 635, settled on the island of Lindisfarne and made it the Northumbrian counterpart of Iona. Supported by the Northumbrian kings, whose supremacy extended over much of England during the period, the Irish-Scottish mission exercised considerable influence in the conversion of the southern kingdoms. Tin development can to an abrupt end, however, with the unconditional decision in favour of Rome at the Synod of Whitby in 663 or 664. By the end of the seventh century England had been almost entirely converted (in Christianity and formed a single ecclesiaslical province with fourteen bishops all of which acknowledged the primacy of the Archbishop of Canterbury.

In 735 York was made the second archbishoptic Cristianization powerfully influenced the further development of spiritual and cultural life in England (and affected other spheren of life, too). Acceptance of the Christian faith involved, to a greater of lesser extent, acceptance of things Roman including the acceptance of Latin, the language of Rome, as the language of the Church on the island. The building of cathedrals and the foundation of monasteries and convents such as St. Augustine's (Canterbury) Lindisfarne, Monkwearmouth, Jarrow, Whitby and very many others, often richly endowed with lands and having schools attached to them, greatly contributed to the cultivation of the art of writing in the monastic scriptoria and to the spread of literacy (restricted, of course, to certain strata or groups of contemporary society).

Inextricably linked with the access to the achievements of Christian culture on the continent opened up by this movement was the rise, in the later seventh and the eighth century, of famous centres of English scholarship: Archbishop Theodore's school at Canterbury devoted to the study of Latin and Greek and of scripture.

> muche, prosody, and Roman law; the renowned monasteries, or the school of York with Alcuin as its 100 Marcassful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in uncessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in the decay of learning in unuccessful efforts to arrest the decay of learning in the decay of learning

the Viking Invasions of 'Anglo-Saxon' England

Includes of the larger part of Britain, begun in the 5th lingely completed by the end of the seventh or in the under their dorument of the conquered country, into contact with Celticline of the conquered country, into contact with Celticline on living under their domination. A new languagetion was to arise when, in the last third of the 9th villing wur-bands, no longer content with harassing and the out and south coasts (which they had done on several the late 8th century and almost annually in the three 10th the late 8th century and almost annually in the three 10th the settlement of occupied areas in various parts of the 10th resulted in a Scandinavian-speaking community of 10th the base size coming into existence in the Viking

Hum we phase in the Viking raids on England began in 865 and unny (consisting mainly of Danish Vikings) landed in and work, then crossed the length and breadth of the English and ponetrated deep into Wessex before the West Saxons the boome again the main target of the Viking attacks and,

39

within less than a decade, all of them except Wessex had lost their independence. Northumbria shrank to a much-ravaged Bomluk (including Durham and Northumberland), while its southern half corresponding broadly with modern Yorkshire, became a Danuk kingdom (the York Viking kingdom). The eastern part of Monute was annexed by the Danish rulers and Western Mercia math dependent upon it.

It was only the defeat inflicted on the Viking army by Kin Alfred in the battle at Edington (Wiltshire) (878) which prevented the Danes from becoming lords over all England and forced them to conclude a peace treaty. The Danes now devoted themselves to the systematic occupation of East Anglia but hostilities broke out and between them and the English under the King of Wessex. In this Guthrum, their leader, entered into an agreement with Alfred, King of Wessex, which defined the southern boundary of the Dunch dominions in East Anglia and Mercia as running from the mouth of the Thames, then up the river Lea to its source, thence in a straight line to Bedford, and then up the Ouse to Watling Street.

The treaty of Wedmore practically meant the division of England into two parts one of which comprised the territory of the kingdom of Wessex (i.e. all southern England from Kent in Cornwall) and Western Mercia (or 'English Mercia') (which recognized the king of Wessex as its overlord) and the other the territories under Danish rule (Danelaw), sometimes subdivided in the 'northern Danelaw' (Yorkshire with parts of the adjoining districts), the 'southern (or central) Danelaw' (or Scandinavian Mercia) and the 'eastern Danelaw' (East Anglia).

To judge from the available historical sources and various other kinds of evidence (including place- and personal names), the major areas of Scandinavian settlement (and, consequently, areas of mixed AngloScandinavian communities) comprised southom Northumbria (especially the East and North Riding of Yorkahan settled above all by Danes, and perhaps chiefly Norweglan settlements in part of the West Kiding), to a somewhat lesser exton the southern part of Durham, the North Midlands especially Lincolnshire, Nottinghamshire, Derbyshire (in particular the

> Muchan), and - less marked - east Cheshire wonternmost settlements resulting from the Danish Muchan), part of the Central Midland (Leicestershire county of Rutland) and East Anglia (Norfolk and Scandinavian place-names, however, only in the area north of Yarmouth). In other central multicast midland areas where settlemenls may have in Morthamptonshire, former Huntingdonshire, and Bedfordshire, there is a comparative lack of

toland, arose especially in the western part of Wral peninsula), in the Lancashire coastlands, in Mral peninsula), in the Lancashire coastlands, in and in Cumberland. Although opinion about the Vondinavian settlements in England is divided, most that the Viking invasions led to a large-scale invariant of the the number of people of lock who became permanent occupants of the lock who became permanent occupants of the in the tenth century, completed in 954, when the last in the tenth century, completed in 954, when the last that the continued existence of the ethnically

In the work of the Viking raids on England at the end of the solution of the early years of the following century in the Danish conquest of England by Swein Forkbeard of the Danish interlude' (1017 or 1016 to 1042) and not lead to further Scandinavian immigration on a but at least some of the followers of the new rulers oven in areas outside the former Danelaw, such as "all thanes in Worcestershire, both English and

The Norman Conquest and Its Effects on the Linguistic Situation in England

The defeat inflicted on King Harold's army by the French speaking followers of William, Duke of Normandy, in the Baulo of Hastings on 14 October 1066 marked the beginning of another foreign invasion of England which far surlinked the preceding Viking invasions in its effects on the social, economic, cultural and linguistic development of the country. Linguistically, the Norman Conquest meant the dissemination in England of a non-German language, which over u period of almost three and a half centum was to play a significant (although progressively decreasing) role and a means of oral and written communication among certain section if the population.

Unlike the Germanic Conquest of the larger part of Britum in the fifth and following centuries and the later Scandinavian invasions, the establishment of Norman rule in England did not load to large-scale immigration and mass settlements of compatrious of the conquerors. Within the population of late eleventh-contant England, which has been estimated at about one and a half million the French-speaking foreigners from Normandy and various other parts of France clearly represented a small minority of load (probably much less) than ten per cent. On the whole, the numerical ascendancy of the islanders of 'Anglo-Saxon' and Anglo Scandinavian stock was preserved at every stage after the Norman Conquest.

However, there were considerable differences as far an the effects of the Conquest on the ethnic composition of the population were concerned. To some extent, the events of 1066 did lead to the rise of ethnically mixed communities of greater or lesser importance and in certain social classes or strata of the developing Andu-Norman feudal society even brought about a numerical predominance of foreigners from the French-speaking part of the continent. As a consequence of the Norman Conquest, political and economic power became concentrated in the hands of a small proup of great feudal landlords, which included the king himself, the greater feudal landlords among the clergy - the archbiology

and the superiors of the more important abbeys - and the barons (whose number amounted to no not the late 11th century).

which constituted the feudal aristocracy of Norman individual almost entirely of Frenchborn foreigners. Their provide administration of the country. They replaced the dividual administration of the country. They replaced the dividual the King's Court, which at that time was the most influent of central government, and for over a century the wore prominent positions in the administration of the board povernment. The peculiar situation in this group the dividual povernment. The peculiar situation in this group the dividual povernment in the fact that many members of the board povernment. The peculiar situation in this group the dividual povernment in the fact that many members of the dividual povernment is the fact that many members of the dividual povernment is the fact that many members of the dividual povernment is the same time holders of lands in Normandy put of northern France and thus maintained close dividual with their original homeland well into the twelfth the ourly thirteenth century.

the lower ranks of the ruling class, the numerically of lesser feudal landlords, who held their lands by held of by payment of a fixed rent to the barons or landlords from whom they had received their lands. Included a from whom they had received their lands, the doubt that this group, which even at the end of the comprised no more than about 10,000 sub-tenants function, included a fair number of the kinsmen and the Norman-French aristocracy. But unlike the latter it the which an ethnically mixed group which, although to which the King and the aristocracy through a classto unumity of interests, was from the very beginning the original to influences of its predominantly English

have ranks of the regular clergy of post-Conquest and the non-joined by foreign monks, mainly from other English monasteries which had been placed under the of were sent to look after the vast lands donated to

43

continental abbeys by the king and many of his barons; others can to England in the wake of the monastic revival in the early twollin century which resulted in the foundalion of larger numbers of momonasteries, priories and smaller cells in different parts of the country. Monastic life in England was thus strongly influenced to clerics of French extraction, especially during the first century atom the Norman Conquest.

For a time a considerable number of the religious houses of England harboured communicative communities with a larger in smaller number of native speakers of French. The latter wen probably outnumbered again by people of English stock by the land twelfth or early thirteenth century. But the abbeys of Normandy and other parts of France continued to exert a certain influence upon English monastic life even as late as the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries.

What the Norman Conquest did not affect, however, which essential changes in ethnic composition of the *peasantry*, which comprised more than 80 per cent of the population, or of the *townspeople* in the urban or semi-urban communities of Norman England. The foreigners among them were relatively few in number and to all appearances, were entirely absorbed by the overwhelming majority of their English-speaking neighbours within a comparatively short time.

The linguistic situation was certainly not only affected by changes in the conquered country itself but also by changes in in relations with the Continent. William the Conqueror's accession in the throne opened a period of almost one and a half centuries during which the King of England was (apart from a brief interval) at the same time Duke of Normandy and, as such, a vassal of the King of France. For half a century hum the accession of Henry II in 1104 the continental dominions of the English king extended down to the Pyrenees and included not only the duchy of Normandy but also the counties of Anjou, Maine, Touraine and Poitou as well as the duchy of Aquitaine.

The loss of Normandy (with the exception of the Chunnel Islands) to the King of France in 1204 had important consequence

> In the members of the feudal aristocracy who had up to but on both sides of the Channel, since it forced them, the exceptions, to make their choice. Those who chose to build had to give up their possessions in Normandy and purely English landowners with no interests at stake in the name happened forty years later to the small group the had managed to retain lands in both countries beyond

the counties of Anjou, Maine, Touraine and Poitou, and the relations between England and France, but bung about the end of all contacts between the two did not even mean the loss of all former English fiefs Considerable and economically important parts of the y of Aquitaine in the southwest of France still quarter of the thirteenth century, for example, still well as the provinces of Agenais and Saintonge well as the provinces of France. The final tenth territories controlled by the English, with the function of curr until the end of the so-called War (1337-1453).

the last of names could be given of English barons, built and clerks who in the king's service spent a built on the civil or military administration of the ould controlled by the kings of England. Relations and Norman or other French abbeys were of And in spite of the growing importance of the the famous universities of France, among them oblishops of Canterbury or York, a number of later oblishops like Roger Bacon and John Duns Scotus, the fundation of the growing them.

At the same time, and until the end of the Civil Wat traditionally called *the Barons' War* (1258 - 1267), considerable numbers of Frenchmen profiting from the favouritism of John an Henry III crossed the Channel in the opposite direction and word many cases given influential positions as constables or sherriff, a Court, in the central administration of the country, as chan dignitaries, or were employed in the king's Wardrobe, which developed more and more into a new centre of administration and seems, for a certain time, to have been dominated by foreign cloth

But the situation around the middle of the 13th century and in its latter half, was no longer comparable to the early days of the Conquest, since by now even the baronial upper class, or at least the majority of its members, had obviously come to regard themative as 'Englishmen' — 'viri de terra Anglorum naturales et ingenue They united with other sections of the population to expel the foreigners from the country, and so put an end to what some historians have called the 'second French invasion of England'.

There can be no doubt that the Norman Conquest also channel the linguistic situation in England. It brought members of another speech community to England and extended the diffusion of the French language beyond the Channel.Engllish thus no longer played the same role as it had done in pre-Conquest times. However in position as the means of communication of the vast majority of the population was never challenged.

The Norman Conquest did not create conditions which could in any way make the native peasants give up their own language of adopt the French language of the Conquerors as a second tongue Circumstances almost certainly compelled the small minority of peasants among the foreigners to adapt themselves soon to their surroundings and to acquire some knowledge of English. 'Language shift', in their case, probably took no more than one or two generations. To all appearances the peasantry of England had again become an entirely and exclusively English-speaking class as early as the early 12th century. The '**rusticanus tocius eloquii alterim Anglici nescius**' — the peasant ignorant of any other language than

tomained the typical representative of his class model and beyond).

In the urban communities of post-Conquest England, in the urban communities of post-Conquest England, in the proceeding at a somewhat slower pace, was in ulticrent in its outcome from that in the ranks of the the purse information available concerning the end of only scarcely admits of any other conclusion than that not French, was the normal means of communication the numerical preponderance of unilingual speakers of the not, however, prevent the language of the Conquerors of the Conquerors of communication important role in certain spheres of communication period of

In purioular conditions which had arisen after the Norman wore anything but unfavourable to the maintenance (and build of the use of French in the circles of the new ruling of England. The feudal aristocracy, almost exclusively build with their continental homeland through territoral and family lies, to all appearances retained French as build on the thirteenth century. For the members of the members of England, for whom it was almost the rule to build house of England, for whom it was almost the rule to the most literal sense of the word until the end of the 14th (and partly even beyond it).

build households explains, among other things, the great household households explains, among other things, the great house of literary works in (Norman) French which were written in house the 12th century (and which, in its latter part, house the 12th century (and which, in its latter part, house extent, expressly designed for just these circles.

The leaver nobility had from the early days of the Conquest intervaly not only consisted of native speakers of French but also

47

included a certain number of people who had English an the mother tongue. The ethnic composition of this group and particular position in society — marked by more or less the connections with the King and the feudal aristocracy on the hand and closer contacts with the peasantry, especially its up ranks on the other — seems to have favoured the early the bilingualism among its members. It is highly probable that the lesser feudal landlords who were of purely NormanFrench stock in had sprung from mixed marriages (or not a few of them, at an rate), adopted English as their first language at some time in the twelfth century though for quite a time retaining French as a language for the same 'social reasons' which made the English but among them acquire additional knowledge of the language of the

Bilingualism obviously remained a more or less widespread phenomenon in the ranks of the lesser nobility throughout most of the thirteenth century and could even be found among (a progressively decreasing) proportion of its members in the fourteenth century (especially with persons who were in attendanupon members of the royal family or other great lords, or exercised important police, judicial or administrative functions as Crown officials, or held other influential positions).

The other social circles where French had gained ground, and native or a second language, after the Norman Conquest comprised the *upper ranks of the secular clergy* (influential diocesan officient such as archdeacons and deans or other cleribs in attendance on bishops and archbishops; private chaplains of the royal family or of members of the feudal aristocracy or the knighthood; and others) and well as the larger part of *the regular clergy* (in addition to the Church barons of England, the archbishops, bishops and abbots of the more important monasteries, who did not differ essentially from the non-clerical feudal aristocracy as far as their proficiency in French was concerned).

The shorter or longer presence of larger or smaller numbers of French-born members of the religious orders in (some of) the monastic communities of the conquered country, especially during

> the the and the 12th century, sufficed to make the monastic set burned of England (or at least the more important ones a float and the monastery schools into places devoted, among the monaster of the French language and French

In a great number of religious houses in 12th century England, which and French existed side by side, as native or second more together with Latin, used in the writing of the more number of the produced in these places.

a function of the so-called 'alien priories', which were actually of breach religious houses, were for the most part (if not in the up of native speakers of English. Nevertheless there will be a solution of that bilingualism (or, if Latin is included, even and up of not all of - the abbeys, priories, and numeries and throughout the thirteenth and into the fourteenth century brouch was losing more and more of the special status which in the monastic establishments for such a long time).

It would clergy directly engaged in the 'regimen to one of souls, or the people who spent all their time buy of men, usually in minor orders, who were not outvittes in the services of kings or members of the unit of the royal law courts, the king's clerks of the buy of other administrative institutions of the central buy of other administrative institutions of the central to be the to other administrative institutions of the central to be the to other administrative institutions of the central to be the to other administrative institutions of the central to be the to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the other administrative institutions of the central to be the other administrative

It can people of these circles who were responsible for most of demonstration in medieval English feudal society and for all or most of the written communication connected with it. There

is evidence, at least from the latter half of the 13th century and especially from the fourteenth and the early fifteenth, that the the of vocational education offered to people like these includlinguistic in addition to more 'technical' training. More product this involved the learning of French, of the arts of writing, dictum and speaking French [17].

Language shift', in the sense of the adoption of English, the native tongue of the vast majority of the population, as their the language also finally occurred among the English born members of the feudal aristocracy, whom the loss of Normandy had at last math info a class of 'purely English landowners'. The 'shift' seems to have begun before the end of the first half of the thirteenth century in some baronial families and to have reached something the completion by the reign of Edward I (1272-1307).

However important this change undoubtedly was, it did not yet make the members of the English feudal aristocracy unilingual. They, or a great many of them, still continued to cultivate the French idiom of their ancestors and retained it as their second language for some time to come. For although the severance of Normandy had, in a certain way, made French less important to them, there were still a number of factors favouring its continued use in these circles or making it something like a 'conditio sine quanon.'

Quite a number of English magnates did still spend some of their time in France. Others were married to French-born puttion Even those for whom none of this held true might (at least in the days of John and Henry III) come together with French-speaking foreigners whether at the royal Court or in other places. Much mondecisive, and of importance also to the lesser nobility were other factors, however: the 'example' of the royal house as well as the persistence of customary linguistic habits developed especially amongst the upper circles of the ruling class of England in the course of a period of almost one and a half centuries during which knowledge and use of French had come to be connected with the idea of 'social distinction' - an idea which later found expression in

lucke would be a gentleman, if he could speak

In damas the thing the source of noblemen in the language' and later manuals, rain finite aprendre) [18]. term by nonding their sons to France 'to learn French' (pour la then taught the language with the aid of teaching materials like through (if not all) of the feudal aristocracy of England were still I in mine cases even longer than that, either by having their are they had adopted English as their native tongue. There are also that made French a "fashionable language ... whose use" was and a mallumania, which in the second half of the thirteenth in the advantage of the second s the encounteration of the second seco in the la sign of highest politeness and refinement"[18]. the third helped the ruling circles (and partly also the educated) the in a quire a more or less perfect knowledge of French even the effect of factors like these was further strengthened by a Women European countries from Norway down to Italy Hibbesworth's mid-thirteenth century manual for

In the way towards losing its status as a 'language of in these circles and becoming more and more a foreign to the members of the younger generation born at this impoundes like John of Trevisa point out in 1385 that monotonic like John of Trevisa point out in 1385 that the hunch now moche i-left for to teche here children [19]. Not much earlier, apparently, than the last third or the the century did the long period come to an end during bould been the chief language of the royal Court or held polition as a means of communication in other other bound of state, for instance in Parliament or the feudal

the rule of French as an administrative language in the induction, since official writing in early Norman England was, the rule comment of English as an official idiom, almost build done in Latin. In the thirteenth century, however, and

especially during its latter half, French was rapidly gaining gaining also as a written administrative language alongside Latin - pural to similar changes in France and promoted, perhaps, by the temporary presence of a strong French element in the administrative institutions of the central government of England round about middle of this century.

For a considerable time, professional administration and 'clerks' well-trained in the "arles scribendi et dictandi loquendlum Gallicum ydioma' thus made extensive use of French for purpose of official written communication (as well as in semiofficial, build and private correspondence) before 'Standard Written English emerged in the early fifteenth century to take over the function of the Romance idiom.

In 1362 Parliament enacted a statute directing that oral pleading in the law-courts of the King and of other feudal lords should in longer be conducted in the French language (which the lithund could not understand since it was 'too unknown in the said Realm') and equally enjoined that the other departments of government, un should conduct their general inquisitions and discussions in English The statute was not enforced, and French remained the 'language of law', to some extent, until a very similar statute was passed undo George II in 1733.

But on the whole, the importance of French in England was declining rapidly in the course of the 14th century (especially the latter half) until it approached the status of an 'ordinary' forum language in the early 15th century, that is about three hundred and fifty years after the Battle of Hastings. Its decline was, no doubt speeded up by the economic and social changes in fourteenth century England and (hereafter, which were bringing forth may social forces, or strengthening their position, and, among other things, also leading to a marked development of national feeling in England paralleled by the growing awareness of the unifying other nation). As a result, the language of the 'comune folk of Engeloud itself changed under the influence of the Romance idiom of the Conquerors, especially in its lexicon, was reestablished as the mome

> I communication 'par excellence' of all strata of English society I communication the language 'of the whole English, nation', 'de I communication' (20).

who brought Christian religion. The Roman In Lutin. That is how the Anglo-Saxons became In Lutin writing and began to use the Latin alphabet In the history of English from the 5th to the llth In the history of English from the 5th to the llth In the Middle English period, which covers the 12th -In marked by the Norman Conquest of England.

He Norman conquerors came from Northern France Hendy) and spoke a northern form (dialect) of French -Hendy Norman French, which changed in course of time Hendy woll, so that it is more accurately named Anglo-Hendy Anglo French, was the official language of England for conduct after the Conquest.

In the 16th century the Modern English period begins.

the time from the beginning of the 16th to the middle of the incoming is called the Early Modern English period, and the stationary of that time, Early Modern English.

Among other things, it had considerable impact on the spelling.

the polling of words became fixed in print, and few changes took the modern Period. English words are for the most

so difficult. is the main reason why present-day English spelling and reading in Period, though the pronunciation of most words has changed. The part spelt nowadays as they were spelt at the end of the Middle

Notes:

1. from Ch. Barber (1976), p. 128.

2. Schaff A., 'Language and Reality', (1965), p. 151.

Austin 1968, p. 162. and Y. Malkiel, Direction for Historical Linguistics. A Symposium 3. Weinreich U., Labov W. and Herzog M. L. 'Employed Foundations for a Theory of Language Change', in: W. P. Lehmann

315; and J. and Ch. Jones (eds.), Historical Linguistics I, Amsterdam 1974, 4. Vachek, J. ' Some remarks on the historical development m English seen from the functionalist perspective', in: J. M. Andonum

Vachek (1976), p. 314.

5. Cf. M. L. Samuels (1975), p. 180.

8. Vachek, J., in: J. M. Anderson and Charles Jones (eds.), op. cit., it 6. Weinreich, U., Labov, W. and Herzog, M. I., op. cit., p. 186 7. Pande, G. Ch., 'The Life and Death of Language', (1965), p. 199

9. M. L. Samuels (1975), p. 134.

Bungay, Suffolk ,1977, p. 15. 10. Finberg, H. P. R., The Formation of England, 550-1012

evidence', Edinburgh Studies in English and Scots, London 1971, p 11. Samuels, M. L., 'Kent and the Low Countries: Some linguistic

Thames, London, 1965. 12. See: Evison V. The Fifth-Century Invasions south of the

13. Finberg, H. P. R., op. cit., p. 16.

Amerikanistik 2 (1954), pp. 201-209 14. Cf. Graband G., 'Sutton Hoo', Zeitschriftfiir Anglistik und

Finberg H. P. R., op. cit., p. 195.

Great Charter of King John, Glasgow, 1942, p. 22. Sharp McKechnie W., Magna Carta. A Commentary on the

> the second strickland Gibson, Oxford, 1931-40, p. 240. 1177-79, p. 36, 11; Statuta Antigua Universitatis Warn, Roman des Dues de Normandie, ed. Hugo Andresen,

utique de Renui, Sire de Beaumanoir, ed. Herman Suchier, Societe STREET, Anutum Textes Francois, Tome II, Paris 1885, p. 7. fromman E, A. The History of the Norman Conquest of Vol. V, Oxford 1876, p. 533; Oeuvres poetiques de

2 Lumbur 1869, pp. 159. and allow of John of Trevisa ..., ed. Ch. Babington, R. S. 41, Vol. Todycluonicon Ranulphi Higden ... Together with the English

REVISION MATERIAL

in protect antignments on chapter 1

the multy to discuss the subject-matter of the history of the English

in the language learning. the number of the statement that history of the language must be viewed

the touty to discuss the relationship between history of the manna and lexicology.

the comment on the statement that language is a social 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 1111

What do we mean by historical variation or change?

In this interview is the separated from social history? Prove your

In the second

the statement that "language development has to be a the close direct contacts resulting in more or less widespread Imputed communication to be met by the language system? t unnuent on the other social factors influencing the conditions of in the dialectically contradictory process". what do we mean by the "contact-induced changes"? 10. Dwell the language borrowing especially on the lexico- semantic level. what hinds of socio-historical conditions affect the requirements monute allon prevailing in a particular language community.

12. Discuss the tendencies which might be called "economically motivated".

13. What is the role of redunduncy for the functional efficiency manage?

14. What do we understand by "optimization tendencies"?

Explain the meaning of "analogical replacements".
What is needed to understand the nature of particular language

changes?

17. Give some vivid examples to illustrate language history.18. Test your knowledge of the aspects of the history of the language community from the Anglo-Saxon invasion of Britain to the end of Middle Ages: a. Who were the first inhabitatnts of the British Islan?b. Who were Iberians? When did they settle Britain?

c. Who were the first wave of invaders enter Britain after 700 B.C.Y

d. Name the first Celtic invaders in Britain.19. Dwell on: a. the Romans in Gaul

b. the Roman province of Britain

c. Britain after the departure of Romans

20. Characterize the structure of the Anglo-Saxon society.

21. How many kingdoms emerged by the end of the 6th century? Name them.

22. Speak on the Christianization of the Anglo-Saxons

23. Dwell upon the Norman Conquest and its effects on the linguistic situation in England.

CHAPTER TWO

MULINI SOUND SYSTEM AND ITS RELATION TO MULLING IN HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

introductory remarks

a shakim English. Since for quite a long time practically all writing the form of the word much closer to what we are accustomed to tuttue and their sound values. These innovations brought the in the hunds of Anglo-Saxon scribes many of the changes the methin to the number of letters used by the scribes, the shapes in a third for in other languages (e. g. a for [ei], e for [i:], and so and must of these values widely different from what the same in the sound values (e. g. a in name, cat, arm and hare), and of this language to the same extent as do the peculiar ways and and all appeared due to French influence. when were made in the English alphabet and the graphic system. ment latters are read in English, each vowel letter having several much the pronunctation and spelling, which often puzzle learners of which wounds and spelling. During the 13th - 14th centuries many much and arouse their curiosity, is to be sought in the history of An explanation of these and other peculiarities of Modern Puthapa no other peculiarity of English strikes and puzzles a

In particular, with regard to the reading of English vowel but that study shows that in older times these letters, Latin that the for sounds similar to those which were assigned to the Latin and which they still represent in modern Westlanguages using the Latin alphabet, for instance, in they changed their sound values as a result of historical in the English vowel sounds which they spelt.

the blue tracing the changes that took place in the sounds and the blue tracing the English language in the course of its long history, blue the traut be given as to what the spelling and the sound blue of English was like at the outset, or, to be more precise, at the Old English texts which have come down to us date from.

Old English Spelling and Sound System

Since Old English times English writing has been based on the Latin alphabet. The Latin system of writing was adopted (with certain changes) by the Anglo-Saxons as a result of the Introduction of Christianity in Britain by Roman missionaries.

In Old English writing Latin letters were used to represent those Old English sounds which most closely resembled the Latin sound values of the letters. But there were more distinct sound types (phonemes) in Old English than letters in the Latin alphabet, and some letters were used for two or more phonemes (e. g. the letters iand g). Besides, the runic characters¹ «p» for [Θ , p] and p for [W], and well as the ligature ∞ for [∞ , \hat{x}] were added to the alphabet.

Let us remind you that:

The sounds [e] and [p] were also spelt p (a modified form of 0)
In modern editions of Old English texts p is replaced by the lotter

.

3. The ligature \mathbf{x} is a combination of the letters \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{e} blended together.

The general tendency of the Old English spelling was in represent each distinct phoneme by one particular letter (or letter combination in the case of diphthongs). It is, therefore, conventent to use these letters in discussing Old English sounds, reserving phonetic transcription symbols for a few special cases, particularly when a letter had more than one distinct sound value in Old English texts (i. e. stood for different phonemes) or when it represented two or more sound types which subsequently became distinct phoneme

The vowel system of Old English comprised the following pairs of short and long simple vowels (monophthongs) and diphthongs. front back

Short monophthongs: y i e a o u

Long monophthongs: 3 o ǽ 3 в ф ы Short diphthongs: ea eo (10) Long diphthongs: 3a 3o (oo)

Let us remind you thats:

the words y and 3 were pronounced like German short and long induced, i. e. they were rounded close front vowels, such as the produced if we try to articulate [i:] and [ij with lips and and rounded.

it is a open o and written now **a** now **o**, e.g. *land*, *lond; nama*, hence). In early Old English the vowel must have been that later it lost its nasal quality.

the finalish diphthongs were falling (stressed on the first

The first element of the diphthongs e_a , a_a was open, so that these neutrino can be transcribed phonetically as $[\pi e_a]$.

The diplution $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is and $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}}$ occur mainly in the northern and the northern (Kontish) dialects of Old English. In the West Saxon poulvely, e, g. deop 'deep', seofon 'seven' for doop, siofon. If only West Saxon there were two more diphthongs: ie and ie. If the 0th century they changed into \mathfrak{I} or \mathbf{n} , e. g. ieldra>yldra,

that't hou ha,ho ('they').

If It muy to see from what is said how much the Old English of phonemes differed from that of Modern English, in the U A distinct from Modern English, Old English had tront vowels - y and 3.

the function of the second sec

the volume fricatives [v], [p] and [z] were not separate but positional variants of the phonemes **f**, **io** and **s**, an **s**, and **s**, and **s**, and **s**, an **s**, and **s**, and **s**, and **s**

the dullant phonemes [[, 3, ff, dʒ] did not exist during most of the built period.

a regular upposition of long and short phonemes ran through the second as well as the vowel system of Old English.

¹ The runic writing is a system of writing used by ancient Germanic tribes befor (100) adopted the Latin alphabet

Conclusions

What is described above in the briefest outline as the vowel sound system of Old English, is essentially the phonemic system of the West Saxon dialect, as represented in texts dating from the lute 9th and the 10th century - the time when a large number of works were written in that dialect and it attained something of the position of a literary standard.

This system differs in some particulars from that which exhaust earlier in the history of English (for quite a number of changes had taken place in the Anglo-Saxon dialects before the 9th century), and most naturally it did not remain unchanged in the centuries that followed.

In fact many English sounds, especially long vowels, have undergone radical transformations between the late Old English period and the present day, and the phonetic structure of a lum proportion of English words has changed beyond recognition.

The historical changes in English sounds have been too many to be discussed in this book. We shall therefore deal only with thom of which some knowledge is absolutely essential for understanding the most typical or most striking features of the phonetic or phone morphological structure, reading and spelling of words in present day English.

Changes in vowels Old English (5th - 11th cc.)

The sound changes which took place in Old English won almost all of a combinative, or positional, nature, that is to say the were caused by the influence of the neighbouring sounds in the word.

Palatal Mutation

Of the Old English changes in vowels by far the most important is the so-called **palatal mutation** or **i-umlaut** ['umlaut] This change was completed in what niiiy be calle'd pre-litorary times, that is before the .ippi'arance of the earliest known Old English texts, which date from the 8th century.

Palatal mutation affected stressed vowels followed by nit unstressed syllable containing an i-element - a close front vowel

> I will became non-syllabic before another vowel and then the used in the palatal semi-vowel [j]. This element influenced the preceding stressed syllable, the use of a stressed syllable, the use of a

It is tribind you that:

the balk mechanism of this, as of many other positional balls atticulating a sound, the speaker at the same time balls atticulating a sound, the speaker at the same time balls atticulating a sound, the speaker at the same time balls atticulating a sound, the speaker at the same time balls atticulating a sound, the speaker at the same time balls atticulating a sound, the speaker at the same time balls atticulating a sound, the speaker at the same time work before palatalized consonants. In English the work became different phonemes thar the unmutated became from, as the unstressed [i] or [j] which caused the work of the same to differ in the root vowel only, became to difference in the root vowel only.

The various Old English vowels were mutated as follows:

n at hulian hélan 'to set, make sit'; a>e: langira>lengra n at hulian hélan 'to heal';

here dolute' delter "to the daughter' (D. Sg.); φ>3: the dumn 'to judge'; u>y: fullian>fyllan 'to fill'; ы>3: proclaim, to make known'; ea>ie: earmiou> procly'; m>oe: hsarian>hoeran 'to hear'. eo>ie: afternun 'to move away'; 30>oe: c3osip>coesp 'chooses';

a function of the above examples, mutation of vowels in the word alternations (interchange) which in Old English in the morphological va-inti, serving to distinguish in the forms of certain words, as well as related words, i.e.

the function of the alternations produced by mutation survive in function with certain modifications due to later phonetic

These occur in the following series of forms: 1) Nouns with "irregular" plural forms such as man — men: OE man(n) — mon(n) foot — feet: OE fipt — fat goose — geese: OE gdps — gas tooth teeth: OE tdpp — tap mouse — mice: OE mыs — mas.

The mutation in the plural forms of these nouns was caused in the i in the Old Germanic nominative plural endling, as in manuffatiz, etc. 2) The "irregular" degrees of comparison of the adjocute old — elder

— eldest: OE aid — eldra (<aldira) — eldest (aldist)</p>

3) In word-building series such as hale - to heal: OE hul - hulm (<hxlian) hot – heat - to heat: OE hut - hitu (<hulm - hulm) -- to bleed: OE bl\u00f3d -- bl3dan (<bl\u00ed bl\u00ed an) brood -- to breed (00 br\u00ed -- br3dan (<br\u00ed bl\u00ed an) doom -- to deem: OE d\u00ed m-- dumm (<d\u00ed mian) food -- to feed: OE f\u00ed a-- fsdan (<f\u00ed f\u00ed an) gold -- u gild: OE gold -- gyldan (<guldian)[full -- to fill: OE full -- tyllm (<fullian) long -- length: OE l\u00e9ng -- lengtou strong -- stremuth OE strung -- strengtou broad -- breadth: cf. OE brud -- briedu

Middle English the word became **brede** and subsequently toot the suffix -th).

Let us remind you that:

Palatal mutation or i-umlaut of vowels took place not only in Old English but in other Germanic languages as well. In fact, it is the most characteristic common feature in the phonetic development of this group of languages. Those who have studied Modern German know what an important part vowel alternations which originated in umlaut play in the morphology of that language much more important indeed than does this kind of vowel interchange in Modern English.

Not all vowel alternations observed in Old English were due in mutation. The ultimate origin of some is to be sought in phone changes of the pre-historic Indo-European language, which was the ancestor of Germanic languages, including English.

This kind of vowel alternation, called vowel gradation in ablaut is found in the principal parts of the so-called strong volume

and an in some word-building series (verbs and nouns derived

I wroton 'to write' — wrBt (past sg.) — writon (past pl.) — (pathological definition) (past pl.) — writing (pathological definition) (past pl.) — writing (past sg.) — write matched the Modern English forms of the verb write — write and the noun writ.

the original Indo-European alternation seems to have been when the no vowel at all): cf. Russ. (co)6epy — (c)6op — (c)6op — (c)6op ...

that it is hardly recognizable in Old English, because the internation wowels had undergone various changes.

t ungthening of Vowels

Another vowel change which is of interest took place towards of the Old English period: short stressed vowels became black the long (alphabetic) reading of vowel letters before these of community and the use in some words of the digraphs ic black the long c and u respectively. This is quite understandable after the Norman Conquest in he late 11th century, which the Middle English period, most writing was done in a the words came from France.

that (but children with a short [i], because Id is (1) the consonant r, mild, wild (but bewilder with a short (1) hwildrian; bold, cold, fold (u, v),hold, old; field (OE (1) heat pl. bundon, pple II bunden), found (OE past pl. (1) post pl. bundon, pple II bunden), found (OE past pl. (1) post pl. bundon), hound (OE hund), pound (OE), sound (1) post pl. wound [au] (OE past pl. wundon, pple II (1) post pl. "jarohat" (OE wund), climb, comb.

the buildoned vowels remained long before Id. So did in the build the close vowels a, a before nd, mb. But other vowels the the most part shortened in this position during the Middle period, so that now such words as end, hand, land, lamb

In some words the shortening may have been favoured by the influence of those grammatical forms of the same word in which the vowel remained short before **mb**, **nd**, because these comoungroups were followed by another consonant, as in the plural of function (OE lambru, ME lambren) and in the old inflected forms of the volt send (the 2nd pers. sg. sendst and the 3rd pers. sg. sent<sendio). The short [i] in **wind** 'shamol' may be chiefly due to its frequent us to compounds like **windmill** and to the analogy of the adjocute windy. Limb has a short [i] because it comes from OE lim, when there was no **mb** group. **Bomb** with short [o] is not native, be borrowed from French.

Note: The noun **wind** has a pronunciation variant [waind] will [ai] from the lengthened i. This variant is now found only in poetry as an archaism. But in the 18th c. the pronunciation [waind] was used quite common. The famous satirist Jonathan Swift gave preference to it and ridiculed those who pronounced [wind]. "I have a preference mind to find why you pronounce it **wind**", he used to say, meaning of course, that it was absurd to pronounce [ai] in **mind** and find, has [i] in **wind**.

Vowel Changes in Middle English (12th - 15th cc.)

An important point to be borne in mind in reviewing the vowal changes that took place during the Middle English period is the even more pronounced effect of the strong word-stress on the phoneta structure of words, the increasing difference between the articulations of vowels in stressed syllables, on the one hand, and in unstressed position, on the other.

Unstressed vowels develop along lines totally different than those under stress. Changes in stressed and unstressed vowels must therefore be considered separately.

Changes in Unstressed Vowels

In languages with a strong stress accent twntressed vowels and shorter than those under stress. That is to say, absence of stress on a vowel reduces its length. Such reduction was already apparent in Old Un^lish, where we find only short vowels in unstremed

> following the stressed one. However, they were full which did not differ qualitatively from the short vowels in following the end of the Old English period and in Middle English the tendency towards reduction of d vowels became more pronounced and affected their in untressed syllables Old English full vowels changed for put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [a] put into a weak neutral vowel resembling ModE [b] put into a weak neutral

ME stones, stones'

the reduced vowels of unstressed final syllables were lost included by the end of the Middle English period. But the letter e built used to stand for the reduced vowel continued to be written in

That is how this letter came to be silent. Sometimes it is a much do to those word forms which had never had a final

thanges in Stressed Vowels. Quantitative Changes

the full of a shortness) of vowels or their phonetic position that half attessed vowels are, as a rule, short before a group promute and long in open syllables. It is this dependence that the well-known rules for the "short" and "long" reading of bloom in Modern English.

int us remind you that:

the interpret of the above principle regulating the quantity is connected with the increasing concentration of the initial part of the word. While unstressed syllables in the blotten, the stressed ones increase their duration. In a blobe part of its duration falls to the consonant or following the vowel. In an open syllable, on the other in the other is allotted to the vowel, so naturally the

numeral five (OE fofe). fifty (OE foftig), which alternates with [ai] (<0) in the simple of ME kepen became [i:] in MoE keep. It also accounts for the share vowel in the first syllable of the numerals fifteen (OE fiftene) and Modern English: the shortened e in kept remains, while the long a principal parts of the verb to keep and a number of other voltant accounts, among] other things, for the vowel alternation [i:/e] in imidentical. This shortening of vowels before groups of consonant word by two or more consonants; no matter whether different in paragraph, long vowels were shortened when followed within In accordance with the principle formulated in the preceding

Let us remind you that:

the long vowel remained open). to the following syllable (since in this case the syllable containing consonants (especially st, and noise consonant + 1 or r) belowing case of the close vowels a, a, before nd, as well as before groups and Vowels remained long before Id, partly before mb, and, in the

dыst, fэst). nædl}. But the vowel was shortened in Middle English in the word followed by a vowel), needle (from the inflected forms of ()) East'), ghost (from the inflected forms of OE gast, where at was besta), most (OE masta), east (ME esten<OE eastan 'from the where the consonant groups were followed by a vowel: least (iii evolved from those Old English and early Middle English form breast, dust, fist from Old English forms with a final st (human Thence the long vowels in Modern English words which

explains the unusual short reading of the digraph ie in the two consideration was followed by two unstressed syllables, because the stressed syllable containing the vowel been replaced by the short e on the analogy of the related ware words. shortened because the group nd was followed by a consonant, and friendship (ME friendshipe<OE fraondscipe), where , In the word friend the long e (spelt ie) from OE 10 may have COMPLEX ST WIN

> the a mule consonant in a stressed syllable followed by two or in trisyllables, mostly in trisyllabic words or word-forms " Hite lyllablerule"). and vowels also became short (and short vowels remained so)

111 huligdig > ME holidai

ME summum ME southerne ['suparna].

the which differ from the corresponding vowels in holy (OE not and bouth (OE SLHO), where no shortening took place. this explains the short stressed vowels in ModE holiday and

the light in writing, there being only one consonant between the many, heroism, etc. model and the following unstressed vowel, e. g. analogy, in the full have a short vowel in that syllable, even if the latter and of Latin and Greek origin with the stress on the third syllable ity analogy with native trisyllables, numerous polysyllabic

f it us remind you that:

in a cloud. The fact is that English short vowels under stress th therefore closes the syllable. they and abruptly, as if interrupted by the following consonant, in in chined syllables only, because such vowels are checked, i. a tunity the stressed syllables in words of the type considered

the introduce contact with the following consonant, if there is any. in they are free, i. e. they weaken towards the end and the lung vowels, on the other hand, mostly occur in open

then the unstressed syllable. and must avhables, unless the stressed vowel was followed by in the 1 th century most short vowels were lengthened in

He talu > ME tale 'tale'.

III MILLIONE 'nose'.

hann a Mill b, then 'to bathe'

it in remind you that:

half [a1], and ME a developed into ModE [a:] before [a]. B non in a closed syllable: OE bxtio > ME bath. As ME . in the mun buth the vowel remained short in Middle English,

a vowel alternation [ei/a:] arose in the related words bathe — buth Compare a similar development in graze [ei] (OE grasian) — gram [a:] (OE graks). The lengthened (or originally long) vowel of an open nyllable remained long, i. e. free, even though the syllable became closed with the loss of the reduced vowel of the unstremed final syllable.

Since the letter **e** which usually spelt the reduced vowel normal continued to be written in most cases after that sound was lost, this silent letter came to be regarded as an indication that the preceding vowel letter should be read long. As a means of indicating vowel length it was added even to those monosyllables with a long vowel which originally had no final **e**, e. g. **house** (OE has), stone (Ot stan), etc.

The silent e makes words of one closed syllable look as if the consisted of two open syllables. Such syllables may be called "graphically open" — a term preferable to "historically open" because, unlike the latter term, it includes those forms which have always made closed syllables in actual pronunciation, e. g. house **mouse** - **mice**, etc. The Middle English lengthening in open syllables affected in the first place open and half-open vowels. The close vowels i and u remained for the most part short, as in love **son** (ME sone ['suna]), and the participles **come** (ME come) ['kuman]), **driven, risen**.

Let us remind you that:

The infinitive stems **drive** and **rise** kept their OE _o in Multh English, whence ModE [ai], while **give**, which had a short vowal both in the Old English infinitive and in participle II, has kept it short in both forms.

The shortness of stressed vowels in originally open syllable in some disyllabic words may be due at least in part to the analogy if inflected forms which were; either trisyllabic or, if the unstream middle vowel was lost, disyllabic, with two consonants following the stressed vowel. Thus the modern pronunciation of the word heaven with a short [e] stems from a Middle English form who heaven was short by analogy with the inflected forms, such as the

> in terms, while the spelling with the digraph **ea** reflects the modelly regular uninflected form with a long **e**, which was not in Molern English by the short **e** form.

initially, the short vowel prevailed in some other words in united of words ending in -y «OE -ig [ij]).

the unit of the words in **-y**, such as **any**, **pretty**, **ready** had a long the unit in Old English. But in their Old English and early built hullish inflected forms the stressed vowel was either d hy two unstressed syllables, or, with the unstressed **i** of the unopped, by a consonant group. This may have caused the the fact that it was (and is) often unstressed in speech.

In those disyllabic words in -y which had a short; root of English, e. g. many, merry, penny, body, poppy (OE in the peng, bodig, popig), there is yet another explanation in the stressed open syllable of disyllable. In public caused by the reduction (but not yet complete in the preceding stressed syllable. Nothing of the kind in the y words, where the final syllable retained some and had a long i (<[ij]) in Middle English.

test us remind you that:

that ending of the letter **a** as [e] in **any** and **many** in due that each of these words had variants with **a** and with **e** in build the **a**-variants are reflected in the modern spelling, promoclution continues the **e**-variants. The variation in built to OE manig, menig. In ME **eni e** was shortened to build **a** in **ani** (any) seems to have resulted from an of **a** in OE **anige** to **x**, which then changed to **a**. While **a** in arrowed to [i] early in Modern English, under the joint influence of the narrow vowel [i] in the

the ing avilable (a phenomenon resembling the Old English

when it wowels), of the surrounding consonants articulated with
generally narrowed when pronounced on a high pitch. which is quite common with this rather emotional word: vowels are the tip of the tongue raised, and of the high pitch under emphasis

syllables which look open in writing. Quite a number of loan-words have short vowels in streams

unreduced vowel. stress falls on the final syllable of an isolated word, and when the the final syllable still kept some of the original stress and had a full stress was shifted to the initial syllable, its vowel remained short, as be due to the fact that it was originally unstressed, for in French the etc., the shortness of the vowel in the stressed (initial) syllable may In dissyllables of French origin, like city, pity, very, novel

by two unstressed syllables ('clericus, 'rapidus, etc.), which made it short. vowel, because in the original Latin forms that vowel was followed id, e. g. 'rapid, 'solid, 'timid and the like, have a short stressed alle'goric, bar'baric, 'cleric, 'critic, etc., as well as adjectives in explained by the extension of the "three-syllable rule" to the pronunciation of Latin in England. Thus words in -ic, such an of the stressed vowel in the originally open syllable is to two In many learned words of Latin and Greek origin the shortment

Qualitative Changes

are what is called "spontaneous", or "free". part do not depend on the nature of the neighbouring sounds the Middle English changes in the quality of vowels for the musi

English. The following vowels changed their quality early in Multi-

OE ME OE ME may be graphically! represented as follows: dialects (spelt u or ui after the French fashion). This development and lowered to e in the South-East, but remained in the Woman EastMidland dialect, which formed the basis of standard Englishing 1. y, y got unrounded to i in the North-East (including the

fillen, for (North-East)

 \bar{y} ₃ E. g. fyllan, $f_{\bar{y}}$ r fellen, far (South) $_{\bar{y}}$ fullen, far, fuir (West)

f it in remind you that:

After the unrounding of 3 the letter y came to be regarded as a and of the letter i.

the off the OE y, such as merry (<OE myrige) and to bury (<OE note in modern standard English which show the Southern e in in the spelling of the latter word, on the other hand, goes the machine the light form which kept the rounded vowel. themland Linglish, e. g. to fill, fire. There are, however, a few in most cases it was the i-variant that prevailed, becoming part

much and Middle English forms of this word it was followed by NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY. the attenued vowel in bury remained short, because in the Old

the from the East-Midland and Northern forms, while the thing is, iil reflects the Western forms. In Imay (OE bysig) and to build (OE byldan) the vowel [i]

the at was narrowed to 3 (long open e), e. g. rædan>rsden 'to at at (apolt se, see) 'sea'.

the changed to a, e. g. wfter>after, www.was.

the applit into two sounds: a (in most dialects) and o (in the

the truth a forms, e. g. can, lamb, land, man, etc. However, or tubung, long, song, strong. the number of the second Administration and and English forms go back for the most part to

the mean rounded to ϕ (long open o), e. g. halig>h ϕ ly,

the truth under the influence of neighbouring sounds. These in muther of words, some vowels changed their quality in the reading of some very common in a that only a few words and are usually overlooked in and we therefore of undoubted interest to the learner of spart from "spontaneous" qualitative changes, which affected many hundra on history of English. But we shall mention those

and for by the narrowing of e to i before [n]. The spelling of the the trading e = [i] in the words English and England is

the old e sound. the two words did not follow Iliis change and continues to reflect

and the vowel shortened before the double consonant). In the plumi word woman<wimman<QE wifman (with f [v] assimilated to m the second syllable), but it is spelt o by analogy with the singular. form women [i] prevailed (apparently because of the front vowel in After w i changed to the rounded back vowel u (spelt o) in the

under the influence of w to o, whence Mod E [u]. In the pronoun who (whom, whose) o (<OE a) was narrowed

Monophthongization of Old English Diphthongs

in late Old English): ea> x >a e.g. healf>half of the Middle English period (as a matter of fact, the process began weakening and loss of the unstressed element at the very beginning All the Old English diphthongs were simplified through

30>e e. g. d3op>d3p 'deep' 3a> xx >3 e.g. S str3am>str3m 'stream' eo>e, e. g. heorte>herte 'houit

vowels resembling German o (short and long), which were subsequently unrounded to e, e. The diphthongs eo, eo were first simplified into rounded

Emergence of New Diphthongs and Related Phenomena

1. i-diphthongs: ai (also spelt ay) < жj (жg), e. g. dai, day < dжu non-syllabic i (mostly from OE [j],[spelt g) and the non-syllabic u emerged in Middle English from combinations of vowels with the A new type of diphthongs with a close second element

(жg), e. g. grei, grey < grжg

g. wei, wey 'way' < weg ei (also spelt ey) 3j (eg), e. g. hei, hey 'hay'< (North.) heg ej (eg), a

spelling, the spellings ei (ey) and ai (ay) being indiscriminately one, presumably pronounced [ai] or [aci]. This led to confusion in originally they had a different vowel, and grey still has two spolling a result, the words hay and way came to be spelt like day, though variants: grey and gray. In later Middle English the two diphthongs merged in

pronunciation variants in Middle English, going back to the third The word grey (as well as some other words) had two

in the dialectal variants grжg and (North.) greg, which the fluctuation in spelling.

interneted as a glide between e and the palatal fricative consonant = sight, "webt (wiht) > weight. the in Old English, gh in Middle English: eahta > ehta1 > eighte In nome words the second element of the diphthong ei

1. ii diphthongs:

in (apell aw) < ag, e. g. drawen 'to draw' < dragar

HE E. E. owen 'own' < agen

the near to blow' (blossom) < bl_{ϕ} wan **3u** (spelt **ew**) < 3aw e. g. fewe tuwe m, in (spelt ew, iw) < 30w, iw, e. g. newe, niwe 'new' name, nowe, knew, kniw 'knew' < cn3ow numua) shoga aw e.g. knowen 'to know' < cnawan ow e.g. m n n bowe 'bow' (curve, weapon for shooting ou (spelt ow)

the line linglish), c. g. OE bohte> ME boughte. This diphthong to use the local by the end of Middle English period (the 15th c.) but that developed as a glide before [x] (spelt h in Old ' English, gh in the dimension on in the Modern English forms bought, brought, the line on remains, which accounts for the unusual reading of maint, thought. The Middle English diphthong [ou] also evolved from o+u

the Mill Hypele > t ele 'tile' stegan > steen 'to climb' the close vowels I (<OE $_{0}$, $_{y}$) and $_{u}$ in the types of combinations and above did not give rise to diphthongs, but to Middle which have vowels and a respectively (the latter usually spelt ou,

ment & harth, (West.) buieth 'buys' fugol > foul 'fowl' bugan > "III to how or bend".

it is remind you that:

the multim pronunciation of buy goes back to the Middle which subsequently became realistic to the Western form with [yi] (spelt ui).

time(n) ['b_{tat}(n)]) and the noun bow [bou] (< ME bowe the adjustive foul (OE $f_{\rm in}$ l), but the two homophones are now the number of the second seco the unit in spelling. On the other hand, the verb to bow [bau

['boua], coincided spelling, as both **u** and **ou** came to be spelt ow in Middle English.

In addition to the diphthongs resulting fror phonetu developments in English words, new diphthong were imported into Middle English in words borrowed from other languages, especially from French, thus **au** in **cause** and **oi** as in **voice** (the latter diphthong is fount almost exclusively in French words).

Middle English Vowel System Compared to Old English

The changes described above altered the stock of ualisli vowel phonemes. The vowel system of Middle i ntfllsh lacks some of the phonemes that were part of the the English vowel system, such un the Old English diphthongs, the two open front vowels se and a, and the rounded close front vowels y, y. On the other hand, it comprises some new vowel phonemes: the diphthongs in i and u, and two new long vowels - the open $_3$ ([e :]) (OE **x**, ea)1 distinct from the long close **e**

([e:]) (in which OE $_3$ and $_30$ had coincided), and the open o ([> $_5$:]) OE $_B$) distinct from the long close $_{\Phi}$ ([o:]) (which continues OE $_{\Phi}$). The emergence of e and o broke the regular parallelism I long and short vowels which was a characteristic feature of Old English.

Let us remind you that:

The two s-phonemes were not clearly distinguished in spelling. They were both written **e**, **ee**, sometimes **ie**. But **e** was also spelt **on**, since in many words it had developed from the Old English diphthong spelt so. The two **o**-phonemes were both spelt **o**, **oo**, but in late Middle English the digraph **oa** is sometimes written for **a** (with the letter **a** added to **o** to indicate the open articulation of the **o**-sound).

The vowel system established in Middle English following the changes that took place during the transition from Old English to Middle English and early in Middle English did not remain unchanged. It was further altered in the course of the sound changed which occurred during transition period between Middle and Modern English (15th c.) and in the modern period.

REVISION MATERIAL

Suggested assignments on chapter II.

Test your knowledge of the sources of the English sound system and its relations to spelling in historical perspective. Be tendy to dwell on the following issues:

1 Old English Spelling and Sound System

Changes in Vowels. Old English

3 Palatal Mutation

t Longthening of Vowels

1 Vowel Changes in Middle English

a Changes in Unstressed Vowels

1 Changes in Stressed Vowels

Middle English Vowel System Compared to Old English What was the position of word stress in Old English? 10

What was the position of word stress in Old English? 10. Find nume MII examples with new positions of the stress which could not have existed in OE.

It What is the basic difference between the development of vowels in atomacd and unstressed syllables?

12 Determine the length of vowels in the ME words below:

+ Of findum, ME finden (NE find);

it till fedde, ME fedde (NE fed);

- OII tulu, ME tale (NE tall);

tott olld, olldru, ME child, children (NE child, children)

the none, ME nose (NE nose).

13 In what respect did the feudal system affect the development of the language?

14 What can you state on the Middle English vowel system compared to Old English?

11 What new diphthongs were imported into ME from French?

in theell on a new type of diphthongs with a close second element enoughed in ME.

what were the two diphthongs merged in one in later ME? 18. In we state that all the OE diphthongs were simplified through calculug and loss of unstressed element? When did it happen?

19. What are the so-called "spontaneous" or "free" vowels?20. How many vowels changed their quality early in ME?21. Did the vowel system established in ME remained unchanged?Prove your statement.

22. Dwell on the most important OE changes in vowels.

23. What is i-umlaut? Give examples to prove your statement.
24. Name the vowels which changed their quality in ME under the influence of neighbouring sounds.

25.Explain the shortness of the vowel in the stressed syllable in words like city, pity, very, novel.

CHAPTER III

THE WHENCE AND WHY OF ENGLISH LETTER NAMES

Why are the letters A, E, I, O called [ei], [i:], [ai], [ou]? At the known, the English letters came from the Latin alphabet. The vowel letters A, E, I, O were named after the vowel sounds they atood for in Latin. In English they still kept their old names the lot [1:], [0:] a few centuries ago, and the letter O was called by a late as the 18th century.

The question arises: why and how then have **A**, **E**, **I** and **O** burnout their names in English? Can it be that at some time or other burnous by chance or accident decided to give them new names burnous of the old ones seemed unsuitable? No, nothing of the kind. It much of the letters have changed because the vowels they were when the have changed in course of time: the letters are still burned after the sounds they represent, but the sounds have become

The matter is that when vowels were used as names of letters have long, because they made stressed open syllables, and hall how words cannot be short in such syllables, at least, they have in them about in this position for many centuries. In the course of hand hall hong vowels generally become closer (narrower), that they are pronounced with the tongue higher in the mouth, which and them bound different.

the long vowel [a:], which was the name of the letter **A**, benued to [ac] in the 15th century. Later it narrowed to [e:]. Then the oud of this long vowel became still closer and began to sound it [1]

in [1] changed to [ei]. That is why the name of the letter A is pronounced [ei]. Similarly, as [e:] became a closer vowel [i:], the bar is came to be called [i:].

and then the end of the letter **O**, also changed to a closer vowel, [o:], and then the end of the vowel narrowed to [u], so that the name of bits began to sound [ou].

The long vowel [i:] was as close as could be. It could not change to any closer vowel. Instead, its beginning came to he pronounced with the tongue lower and lower in the mouth, till [11] changed to [ai], and that is now the name of the letter I. All the changes in long vowels are part of the so-called **Great Vowel Shift**. **Why do the English names of the letters** *B*, *C*, *D*, *G*, *P*, *T*, *V*

end in [i:]? Once all these names ended in a vowel. The vowel was long, because it was at the aid of the syllable, that is to say, the syllable

because it was at the aid of the synaple, that is to say, the synaple was open, and English vowels are never short in stressed open syllables. Then the vowel [e:] changed to [i:] and so [be:], [se:], [de:], and the like, became [bi:], [si:], [di:], and so on.

Why is K called [kei]?

Until about the 15th century **K** was called [ka:] in English, an in other languages. But, as between the 15th and 18th centuries the English [a:] changed first to [x:], then to [e:] and to [ei], the name ther mane of the letter **K** in Modern English became [kei].

Why is R called [a:]?

Until the 15th century the name of the letter **R** in English wan [er], as in other languages. Then the short vowel [e] changed to [a] before [r], because that consonant caused the preceding vowel to be formed with the tongue lower in the mouth, and [e] was pronounced with the tongue lower and lower, till it sounded rather like [a] (a front **a** sound, a vowel made with the bulk of the tongue moved in the front part of the mouth, almost as in pronouncing [π c]). So [er] became [ar].

Later in Modern English the consonant [r], when not followed by a vowel, changed to a weak vowel sound, a kind of $[_{a}]$. This happened because [r] began to be made with less energy, the tongon was raised less high, the air passage between the tongue and the rout of the mouth became wider, and so a vowel was produced instead of a consonant: vowels are pronounced with a free air passage, while to produce a consonant the air passage must be temporarily closed of very much narrowed. The weak vowel sound which developed from [r] merged with the preceding a sound, making it longer. In time the

tool purt of the mouth and so changed to [a:].

Now the long vowel [a:] is pronounced instead of [ar], whether it is put of a word (as in **arm**, once pronounced [arm], now [a:m]) is the name of the letter **R**.

Why is U called [ju:]?

In Old English, as in Latin, the letter **U** was used for the long and the short **u** vowels [u:], [u], and was called [u:]. But in French the same letter came to be read (and called) [y(:)]. This kind of some l is formed in the front part of the mouth like [i(:)], but with the munded, as when pronouncing [u(:)].

In the second half of the lith century England was conquered the Normans, who came from Normandy in Northern France. For time after the Conquest the new rulers and most educated of England spoke and wrote French. English words, too, to be written to some extent after the French fashion, and lotter names, including that of the letter **U**, were taken over the lotter names, including that of the letter **U**, were taken over the lotter names, instead of [y:] most English people pronounced [10]. The unstressed [i] before the stressed [u:] changed to the lotter does before vowels, and so the name of the letter **U**

Why is W called "double U"?

The name "double U" was given to the letter W because this better was formed by combining two U or V signs (UU or VV), which until the 17^{th} century were regarded not as different letters, but at two different ways of writing the same letter (U).

Why in Y called [wai]?

If Old Langlish the letter \mathbf{Y} was written for the long and short [19], [y]. In Middle English [y(:)] changed to [i(:)]. But [10] uning \mathbf{Y} [i:] after the vowel this letter now represented, [10] new name [ui:] to distinguish it from **L**. Perhaps it was [10] \mathbf{Y} was made of an **U** (which was often given the [10] \mathbf{Y} was made of an **U** (which was often given the [10] \mathbf{Y} vesting on an **L**. The unstressed [u] changed to [w] [11] (just as unstressed [i] changed to [j] [12] (u)] became [wi:]. Then [i:] changed to [ai], as [13] (u) and the name of \mathbf{Y} became [wai].

REVISION MATERIAL

Suggested assignments on chapter III "The Whence and Why of English Letter Names"

- 1. Why are the letters A, E, I, 0 called [ei], fi:], [ai], [ou]?
- 2. Why do the English names of the letters B, C, D, G, P, T, V and
- in [i:]?
- 3. Why is K called [kei]?
- 4. Why is **R** called [a:]?
- Why is U called [ju:]?
- 6. Why is W called ['d nblju] ("double U")?
- 7. Why is Y called [wai]?
- 3. What is the origin of the English letters?
- 9. Why and how have letters **A**, **E**, **I**, and **O** changed their names in English?

10. When did the long vowel [a:] change to [x:]?

11. Why do we now pronounce the name of the letter A as [ei]?

12. What do we mean under the "whence and why of English letter names"?

CHAPTER IV

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF PRESENT-DAY NOLISH READING OF VOWEL LETTERS AND LETTER COMBINATIONS

When and why don't we read the letter e at the end of an india word?

The letter e is read at the end of those words of one syllable worldon, But when the word does contain another vowel letter (or litto), then e at the end of it i: not read, it is mute (except in some words of foreigi origin, such as *apostrophe*, *catastrophe*, and the lite). The explanation is as follows.

Most of those English words that are now written with a mute if the cod, that is, an e which is not read, one ended in a weak pronounced [,], spelt e. For instance, the words *name, time* pronounced ['na:ma], ['ti-.ma]. In the 13th-14th centuries the final vowel sound was lost (stopped being pronounced). But blue e usually continued to be written, even though it was no

In words of two syllables ending in [,,] (spelt e) the stressed and only, as a rule, when there was only one consonant after the community which went to the unstressed syllable, leaving the dayllable open. Such a stressed vowel remained long the final [,] was lost and the letter e at the end of the word

in people got used to finding a mute **e** at the end of words a long vowel and began to write it even in those words of one with a long vowel which had never had another vowel at the index of the bone, house, mouse, stone, wine (earlier bon, hus,

Houldon, quite a number of words with a mute e at the (such as a sub-backwork, vase and others) came from French, where the backwork mute in more or less the same way as in English.

Why is the reading of English vowel letters quite different in different words?

First of all, it must be noted that each vowel letter was from the very start used both for a long vowel and for a short one. As a matter of fact, that kind of difference between the vowels spelt by the same letter was small in comparison with the difference we now find, for instance, between the vowels spelt **i** in **pin** ([i]) and in **pin** ([ai]).

The difference between the long and the short vowell increased in the cource of time as the long vowels changed very noticeably in the socalled **Great Vowel Shift**, while the short vowels either remained almost unchanged or changed in different ways from those in which the long vowels developed. In Chaucer's time (the second half of the 14^{th} century) the vowel spelt a wallonger in **bake** ['ba:k₅] than in **bak** [bak] (now written **back**), but othewise it sounded almost the same in the two words. Now even the dullest ear will easily make out the difference between the [ei] in **bake** and the [ae] in **back**.

But that is not all. Indeed, each vowel letter in present-day English is used for more than two vowels, that is to say, is read in more than two different ways in different words. For instance, a stands not only for [ei], as in **Kate**, **name**, **take** and $[\pi cat, a a a a b a b, cat, pan, but also for [a:], as in$ **arm, car, fast, half** $, [<math>e_a$], as in **bare**, [$_{2}$], as in **want**, **wash**, **what**, [o:], as in **all**, **salt**, **war**, and an on. A similar variety of readings ("sound values") is observed with other vowel letters.

Whence comes this variety? The explanation is that a vowel whether long or short, may develop in different ways depending on the nature of the neighbouring sounds, especially consonants. We shall speak about that in more detail when explaining the various tendings of each individual vowel letter. Here we shall deal only with the influence of [r] — the consonant which has had the greatest effect on the development of vowels in English.

For one thing, under the influence of [r] the preceding vowel in formed in a somewhat different way: more towards the middle put of the mouth (where [r] itself is produced) and with the tongue in a

to be position. It becomes what is called more open. Secondly, between a stressed vowel and the following [r] there often develops a very weak short [ŋ] — like sound — the glide [ə].

Loutly, in the 17th-18th centuries [r] in wordfinal (at the end of the word) and before consonants changed to a weak vowel [] which mented with the preceding vowel. If that vowel had been short, it because long; if it had been long, it formed a diphthong with the adde [a]

furl became [a:], as in car, furl became [o:], as in port,

it he ame [a:], as in fur, her, girl,

(i) became [i3], as in here, soil became [sa], as in there hill became [sa], as in more,

ut | became [u o], as in sure.

As a result of these changes, the reading of vowel letters in resultantion with the following \mathbf{r} is different from the way they are near when there is no \mathbf{r} after them.

to far we have considered the reading of vowel letter in menod ayllables.

In unitropsed syllables the letters **a**, **o**, **u**, and the letter minimum or usually stand for the weak vowel [a] (as ir **a**'bout, ult). The reason is that the vowel for which the letters were minimum or usually stand to the weak sounds [a], [i] in pronounced without stress, that is, with very little force. As a built only written have changed to the mouth very little force. As a built ongue in the back part of the mouth), while the front of the tongue in the back part of the mouth), while the front part of mouth weaken to the front sound [i]. While considering the built of works describe here, it should be born in mind that the volopments describe here, it should not have produced all mouth of readings that we now find in English vowel letters. The fact is that English spelling has not kept pace with

pronunciation. Many English words are still spelt as they were in the 14th -15th centuries, while their pronunciation has changed almost beyond recognition. Just compare [knif] and [naif] (knife), ['narm and [neim] (name), and so on.

Though a vowel changed to a new sound, the same letter was written for it, and when two or more vowels developed from one old vowel, one and the same letter continued to be written for all of them. Some readings of English vowel letters developed under a foreign influence, for instance, the reading [ju:] for **u**.

When and why are English vowel letters read as they are called in the alphabet?

Our answer to the first question about English letter unition should make it clear that these names, except those of U and Y, and long vowels or diphthongs which developed from earlier long vowels in the course of the *so-called* Great *Vowel Shift*. It is thon an easy guess that the vowel letters have their alphabetical sound values, i.e., are read as they are called in the alphabet, when the vowels they are written for come from earlier long vowels which existed before the Great Vowel Shift: **a** is read [ei] in those would where in the $13^{\text{th}} - 14^{\text{th}}$ centuries it was read [a:] (as in tale), e is read [i:] where once it was written for [e:] (as in he), i is read [ai] in place of an earlier [i:] (as in time), o is read [ou] for an earlier [o] (as in go).

But under what conditions were vowels long at the start of the Great Vowel Shift?

The most general rule is that vowels were long in open stream syllables, particularly in those words of two syllables where the stressed vowel of the first syllable was followed by one consonant as in **name** ['na:ma], **writen** or **write** ['wri:t.(n)], and so on, or by a group of consonants (such as [bl], [pl], [fl], [dl], [tl], [gl], [kl], and combinations of certain consonants with [r]) which behaved like on consonant, that is to say, went with the final unstressed syllable leaving the first syllable open, as in **table** ['ta:bl $_3$], **title** ['ti:tl $_1$] and the like.

Some of the words which had two syllables in Middle English still keep them: **basin** [bei-sn], **bugle** [bju:-gl], **cradle** [kret dl]

bold [mult], table [tei-bl], title [tai-tl], metre-[mi:t a], paper ['pei-p
l totor ['pi-t a], tiger ['tai-g a], etc.

the most of those words that ended in the weak unstressed mult [o] (apelt e) have lost the second syllable with the loss of the mult [o] and the remaining syllable has become closed. For instance, multiple has changed to [neim] (name), ['li:-na], to [lain] (line), and

The name goes for infinitives, which ended in **-en** [a n], except that they loat the weak final [n] before losing the unstressed vowel. In [10, ba n] is now [teik] (**take**), ['wri:-tan] has become [rait] without and so on, and so forth. As can be seen from the examples, he would of the new closed syllables have not become short, and have developed as if they still were in open syllables.

The mute -e which continues to be written in place of the lost much in now a mark of the "long", or alphabetical, reading of the modeling vowel letter.

buch in the explanation of the alphabetical reading of vowel that in words of two syllables and in those like **face**, **name**, **place**, **intent tube**, **line**, **time**, **write**, and so on, which now consist of one hand ayllable but look in writing as if they were made of two open allables, and which once actually had two syllables.

It must be noted, however, that some words of one syllable, the new written with a mute **e** at the end and in which vowel and and a accord syllable in their basic form (the nominative, the common case singular), and their only syllable has always

the final e in such words as **bone**, **home**, **stone**, **life**, **wife**, that had none others has never been read. It came to be written to that their vowels were long. The fact is, these vowels had mented long since Old English times till the **Great Vowel Shift** and in the **Shift** they changed in the same way as long and the open syllables.

The many that explains the alphabetical reading of vowel letters in the band of words.

changed in the Great Vowel Shift? during the Modern Period, after the Middle English long vowels had Now, what about those words which appeared in English

sober, Pete. alphabetical values [ei], [ail, [ou], [i:] as in words like basin, then motor, scene the letters a, i, o, e in stressed syllables have the same which serve as models as it were. For instance, in basis, crime In such words vowel letters are mostly read as in older words

explanation. groups of words and individual words which require special vowel letters in English is not all that simple, really. There are some The matter of the "long" (alphabetical) and short reading of

When are English vowel letters read short?

are written for vowels which have remained short from old times (as in back, bed, box, sit, sun) or were shortened at some time of To put it in a nutshell, vowel letters are read short when they

stressed vowel, a short vowel is pronounced in the stressed syllable 3. On the model of the older words of three syllables with a share remained long and in the Great Vowel Shift changed to [ou]. which bears the stress, is open. In this syllable the vowel [11] The matter is that holy has only two syllables, and the first syllable comes the first part of the word holiday, the same letter is read [and read short in holiday, while in the adjective holy, from which two or more unstressed syllables. That explains why the letter a in remained so) when the stressed syllable of a word was followed in 2. Long stressed vowels were also shortened (and short one Middle English), where it later changed to [i:] (now spelt ee: keep tense form kepte, now kept, while the same vowel remained long in two or more consonants. For instance, [e:] became short in the paid 1. First of all, a shortening of English stressed vowels took place the open syllable of the infinitive kepen (pronounced ['ke:pon] in very long ago (perhaps as early as the 11th or 12th century) before

syllable from the end, such as analogy [a'nxladgi], economic of learned words from Latin and Greek with the stress on the think [i'konami], telegraph ['teligra:f], and the like

> in [ii] (which developed from [i:] before [r]. in the first syllable, because it looks open in writing, and especially regulate often pronounce these words, quite wrongly, with [i:] or [ia] the word hero, consisting of two syllables, is pronounced num particularly the short [e] in heroine and heroism. Learners of

attables are short, because in Middle English, before the unstressed In youth like dis'cover, de'liver, 'study the vowels of the stressed minus were lost, the stressed syllable in each of these verbs was intervent by two unstressed ones: discoveren, deliveren, studien.

well as of adjectives in -id, such as rapid, rigid, solid, timid, vivid in the end followed by two unstressed syllables, and English the Latin forms 'comicus' 'rapidus, and so on, that syllable is and a low others, which come from Latin the vowel is short, because in turk, logic, and the like, mostly of Greek and Latin origin, as much unding in -ic, such as 'comic, 'cynic, dra'matic. fa'natic, In the last but one (second from the end) stressed syllable of the pronounced these forms with a short stressed vowel.

model, moral, novel, pity, planet, present, prison, pu nish, river, tunning, descrt, famine, figure, finish, honour, legend, metal, in the function consonant, because originally it was unstressed; in in a could, solemn, talent, very, and others the stressed vowel is the hold words have the stress on the second syllable. which of two syllables from French, such as city copy,

the the these syllable was followed by two unstressed ones: the in y which are not of French origin but of the Old English a manufacture of the short wowel in these words and that, for instance, any, many, body, two explanations have the the about reading of vowel letters in words of two syllables and that ayllable of the words in -y had a long vowel and a must from only Middle English forms with grammatical endings, and heaving enough energy to make the preceding stressed initia (and its vowel) long. that means it was pronounced with considerable tunte. The other explanation is that in Middle English the

and provide ones to be explained later. there are have dealt only with the most typical cases, leaving some

Why are vowel letters in words like *child*, *find*, *comb* read as they are called in the alphabet?

As a rule English vowel letters are read short before groups of consonants. But before **Id**, **nd** and **mb** some vowel letters are read according to their alphabetical names [ai] for **i**, [ou] for **o**. Thus means that the Modern Englisl vowels in such words come from earlier long vowels.

Indeed, in Old English (probably in the 9th century) vowels became long before [ld], [nd] and [mb], when no other consonant followed. When, however, there was yet another consonant after these consonant combinations, the vowel before them remained short, as in the plural form **children**. That explains why the vowels are different in the singular form **children**. That explains why the vowels [i]: in the singular form **children** the plural **children** [i]: in the singular form the vowel [i], followed only by the two consonants [ld], became long, and the long [i:] later changed to [ul], while in the plural [i] remained short before three consonants.

Two more things are to be noted. Firstly, the lengthenod vowels remained long before [Id], as in **mild**, **wild**, **field**, **shield** and some other words. Before [nd] and [mb], however, only [i:] and [u] regularly stayed long, changing in Modern English to [ai] (as in behind, bind, blind, find, grind, kind, mind, climb, etc.) and [au] (spelt ou as in pound and in the adjective sound meaning "healthy" as well as in the participle and past tense forms bound, found, wound). Other vowels seem mostly to have been shortened again, as in end, hand, land, sand, lamb, though not in comb.

It must be noted at this point that the noun **sound** ("*that which can be heard*") does not belong, historically speaking, among the words discussed here. This noun came into English from Old French in the form [su:n] (spelt **sun**, **sune**, **soun** or **soune** in Middle English and had its [d] added later, in the 15th century).

Secondly, although Old English [a], spelt **a**, became low before [Id], the letter **a** is not read [ei] (which is its alphabetical name) before this group of consonants in present-day English because Old English [a:] changed to [o:] in Middle English, and the was written **o**, not **a**. That is why we now find the letter **o** in worth which had **a** in Old English, such as **bold**, **cold**, **hold**, **old** and **a** for

> inform An should be expected, this letter is now read [ou], in normalized with its alphabetical name, because Middle English [o:] has changed to [ou] in Modern English. Similarly, we have o read hun in place of Old English a before **mb** in **comb**.

The word **wind** (the noun [wind], not the verb **to wind** [waind] server special attention. In earlier times it was commonly induced with a long vowel, which changed to [ai] in Modern regulate Some people (among them the famous writer Jonathan author of the "Gulliver's Travels" still pronounced [waind] at beginning of the 18th century. But [wind], with a short [i], the usual Modern English pronunciation, perhaps under the author wods as **windmill** and **windy**, which have a short [i].

The pronunciation [waind] is now found only in older poetry, he hadrone, in Byron's poem"Childe Harold's Pilgrimage": Yet, headonn' Yet thy banner, torn, but flying,

though broken now and dying, The loudest still the tempest

Why have read [m] (as in back), [ei] (as in name), [a:] (as in hit, bull, dance), [e,] (as in Mary), [o] (as in want), [o:] (as in mary all, walk)?

In Old and Middle English the letter **a** was written for the long mod [a] and the short [a]. The most usual conditions under which mode were long in later Middle English are described in answer to mode and both the short made vowel short, in answer to question 4 and the obsphere.

house time around the beginning of the Modern Period short [a] housed to [ac]. That is why the letter is now read [æ] in those words there it tunds for a short vowel, as in **back**, **bad**, **can**, **hat**, **man**, real fad, factory, family, and so on

In name, as well as in **bake**, **cake**, **take**, **tale** and a number of the words, [a] became long, because till about the 14th century it is an open stressed syllable: the letter **e** at the end of such words and for a weak unstressed vowel which, together with the recting consonant, made the second syllable. When the monomed vowel was lost, the stressed syllable became closed, but

its vowel [a:] remained long and later changed to [ei]. As the letter a continued to be written for the changed sound, it came to be read [ei].

Of course, [a:] changed to [ei] also in the open stressed syllable of words like **basin**, **table**, and so on, which have kept the second syllable. So, here, too, the letter **a** is read [ei].

3. In far, as well as in numerous other words where a is followed by r at the end of the word or before a consonant (car, dark, farm, garden, hard, harm, harvest, large, March, market, parcel, pardon, park, parliament, part, starve, etc. a was once read [a] This vowel became long as [r] weakened and then was lout altogether. The new long vowel began to be formed further back in the mouth and so became the back vowel [a:], which is now pronounced wherever the spelling has ar without a vowel lettor following.

4. The letter a is also read [a:] in some words where it is not followed by r. In particular, the long vowel [a:] spelt a had developed in place of short [a] in words like after, ask, basket, mask, task, cast, castle, fast, last, mast, master, nasty, finat, val, grasp, glass, grass, pass, bath, path, and so on. As can be seen from the examples, [a:] is pronounced in closed stressed syllable before the voiceless fricative consonants [s], [9], [f]. As to why the voiceless fricatives make the preceding vowel long, several explanations have been suggested. But none of them seems to have been proved correct quite conclusively, so it is hardly worth while quoting them here.

5. In words like **palm**, **half**, **calf**, **to calve** the vowel [a:] written a has developed in a yet another way. In Middle English the letter a in such words was read [a]. The vowel was short because it was followed by two consonants. The peculiar nature of the consonant influenced the development of the vowel. First of all, an [u] litto sound (the back glide-vowel [u]) developed between the vowel [a] and the consonant [1], which is formed with the back part of the tongue raised when it is before a consonant or at the end of a wool (this is the so-called "dark" [1], which is more. So [*palm*] become [paulm], [*half*] changed to [haulf], and so on. Then [1] itself changed

in an [u]-like sound under the influence of the preceding glide [u] and the following labial (lip) consonant (that is a consonant formed in hpa, such as [m], or by a lip and teeth, such as [f], [v]).

I happened like this. Both [u] and the labial consonants are minimed with some lip-rounding, and the lips remained rounded in these sounds, while the speaker tried to say [1], so that the minimed vowel [u] was produced instead of [1]. The vowel was produced instead of [1]. The vowel was long the glide [u]. Then [u] was lost between [a] and the comonant (merging with that consonant as it were), and [a] how went into making the **a** vowel. That is why we produce [pa:m], [ha:f], etc., with a long **a** vowel.

the spectrum function this spectrum became established as the only correct the ten brench they had, and still have, a nasal vowel [a], a kind of the first pulling, these words were often spelt without u (dance, in Multile Period, [aun] or [aum] was pronounced instead of [a] : mund pronounced through the nose and spelt an, am. But in num the Northern French dialect spoken by the Norman conquerors If the diputitiong [au]. Most such words have come into English moment in these words [a:] also resulted from the simplification mult, sample, where it is followed by n or m+another mun, hrunch, advantage, grant, plant, command, demand, and have the second part and developed into the simple vowel [a:]. sumple, and so on. In Modern English the diphthong in the Information Conquest, and in the English language of in Mubile English, on the model of the literary French forms. In mum French (or AngloNorman) spoken by the ruling class of taulty, the letter a is read [a:] in words like advance, chance, thutnin. In Central French which formed the basis of literary In words like dance are now spelt with a and pronounced with

He billet **n** is also read [a:] before [n] + another consonant inbuilt built word**answer**. Here [a:] also comes from [au]: itbuilt that this word was pronounced with [au] in the 16thand was spelt**aunswer**, though Old English it had a simple

vowel in the first syllable. Perhaps it was influenced by the numerous words of NormanFrench origin pronounced with [aun].

The famous Danish scholar Otto Jespersen suggested, however, another explanation. According to him, [u] developed after [a] in **answer** under the influence of [w] in the following syllable ['answar] became ['aunswar]. Then [au] changed to [a:] and [w] disappeared.

7. The reading of the letter **a** as [ea] in words like **bare**, **care**, **dare**, **hare**, **Mary**, **parent** and others, where **a** is followed by $\mathbf{r} + a$ vowel *letter*, is to be explained as follows The letter **a** in such words win originally written for [a:], or for [a] which became long in the 13th century because it was part of an open stressed syllable. At the ond of the Middle English period [a:] changed to [x:] and later to [a1] the kind of vowel described in answer to question 7. If there had been no [r] after it, this vowel would have been further narrowed in [e:] and then changed to [ei], as in **name**.

But [r] prevented its further narrowing. Instead, a glide [a] developed between [e:] and [r], and in the $17^{th}-18^{th}$ centuries [r] itself was weakened to [a], whenever not followed by a vowel. The weak [a] sound merged with the preceding vowel into the diphthony [ea]. That is how the letter **a**, which once stood for [a:], came to be read [c_{a}].

8. In words like **want**, **was**, **wash**, **watch**, **what**, **swallow**, **swamp**, **quality**, **quantify**, **quarrel** the letter **a** was originally mid [a]. But around the 17th century [a] changed to [d] under the influence of the preceding [w]: [w] is pronounced with lips rounded, so the following vowel became rounded too. [a] must have been slightly rounded after [w] long before the 17th century, only the different between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded and the unrounded [a] remained unrecognized between the rounded [a] remained unrecognized

When, however, [a] changed to [ae] where there was no [w] before it, the difference became more obvious. The slightly rounded vowel after [w] became identified with [5] (that is, people began to hear and to pronounce it as [5], which by that time had become von open and practically lost its rounding, so that it sounded rather [h] [a], the kind of vowel now pronounced in America in place of British English [5]). But the short vowel [o] which developed from

to a lot words discussed here is now read [].

Hefore the back consonants [k] and [g] [a] has not changed to [

It has developed in the usual way to [ae], so that in words like wax and wayon the letter a is read $[\pi k]$.

A long number of [2:] developed after [w] from [ar]. That's the letter combination **ar** is read [2:] after **w** and **qu** [kw], as in the warm, warn, quarter.

In words like all, ball, call, fall, hall, small, tall, wall, Mil, ball, tulk, walk, where the letter **a** is followed by $\mathbf{l} + consonant$, **a** a containing a simplified to [1], as in words like half (see item 5), and but [au] was simplified to [0:]. But the letter **a** continued to be the following [I], as not reflected in writing), and is still written be the but the kind of words.

Why he read [c] in **bed**, but [i:] in **evening**, [a:] in **bar**, [i₀] in there?

a turning the letter e was written in English for the short and the

but [6] has as a rule remained practically unchanged since the limit times in closed syllables, so that words like bed, set, tell pronounced with [e], as they were a dozen of centuries ago. I used open syllables [e] became long for the most part, in the 13^{th} century. Later, when the Great Vowel Shift to longthened **e** vowel underwent further changes, like the build longlish long e vowels, of which there were two kinds: I and the open [c:]; [e:] was pronounced with the front the tongue raised higher and the air passage between the and the roof of the mouth closer (narrower) than in

It could of time [e:], which already in Middle English of very much like [i:], becamestill closer and sochanged to [i:] only in the 15th century. As for [ϵ :], in London English, it first model in [α] and only later changed to [i:] or rather was replaced by built had developed from Middle English [e:] in words that

in the Middle English period had [e:] in the northern and eastern dialects.

As a result of the change of the long **e** vowels to [i:], the letter **e** is at present read [i:] in the stressed syllables (which are open now or were open before the loss of unstressed vowels — see answers to questions 1 and 3 in this chapter) of a number of words, such as but he, me, she, we, eve, even, evening, metre, Peter.

It must be noted, however, that **e** is written for [i:] chiefly in words which came into English as **learned**, **bookish terms from French, Latin and Greek, such as complete, equal, fever, legal**, **scene, theme**. In native words, which come down to us from Old English, as well as in more common words of French origin, [i:] in usually spelt **ee** or **ea**. The use and reading of these lettor combinations will be explained later.

13. Both the short [e] and the long [i:] which replaced the earlier [e], developed in Modern English in a peculiar way when [r] came after them.

The short vowel [e] followed by [r] at the end of a word of before a consonant began to be formed with a wider air passage and further back in the mouth, and in course of time changed to [a]. In turn, the consonant [r], when there was no vowel after it, weakened to an [a] like sound, which merged with the preceding vowel in a long [a:]. That's why we now read **er** in words like **her**, **certainly**, **perfect**, **person**, **service**, **university** as [a:].

14. In place of [i:] from [e:] followed by [r] we now find [ia] for the letter **e** in words like **here**, **hero**, **interfere**, **severe**.

15. The words **there** and **where** kept their Middle English $[e_1]$ in early Modern English. The following [r] prevented It from narrowing. Then, with the weakening of [r], $[e_2]$ was replaced by the diphthong $[e_2]$, as explained in answer to question That is why them words are now read with $[e_2]$.

Why is i read [i:] in sit, but [ai] in time, [i:] in machine, [,] in first, [ai ₃] in irony?

16. The letter i in English used to be written for the short [i] and the long [i:]. The short [i] has, as a rule, remained practically unchanged all through the history of English, so that words like fist, his, it, itd

the live, mist, pin, sit, still, and others, still have [i] (spelt i), as in the linglish, and history, picture, and others keep their Middle handlah [i].

If the Middle English long [i:], on the other hand, changed to [ai] in the course of the Great Vowel Shift. This [ai] continues to be reach as was the long [i:] it developed from. That is why the letter i bands for [ai] in words like **bind**, **blind**, **bite**, **child**, **find**, **five**, **like**, **iter**, **i**

in the model of older English words, **i** is also read [ai] in stressed and syllables, and in syllables which look open in writing, being and which a mute **e** at the end after a single consonant, of a number of words which appeared in English in modern times: **bronchitis**, the allout allo, and others.

How ver, some words taken over from French during the hold highlish period keep their i vowel spelt i, instead of hold highlight with [ai]. That is why i is read [i:] in such words as hold highlight the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold highlight here is a structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the structure of the structure of the hold here of the structure of the

holore a consonant, as in **bird**, **circle**, **circumstance**, **fum**, **first**, **girl**, **sir**, **shirt**, **skirt**, **third**, **thirt**, and so on, [1] The vowel [a :] developed from short [i] + [r] in a line to the development of the same vowel from short [e] mover to the preceding question): [i] changed to [a] fulluance of the following [r], and this [a] became long, which developed through the of the [r]. The new vowel is still spelt **ir**, as was the build on [ir] it developed from. That is why the letter

blue the latter **i** in combination with **r** is followed! by another blue the read [ai2], as in **desire**, **fire**, **Irish**, **irony**, **tired**, and the like, [ai] in such words comes from [i:], and [2] blue the between the diphthong and [r], and later also between the weakening to a vowel sound in word-final blue the between the sound in word-final

Why is o read [$_5$] in box, but [ou] in home, [$_5$:] in morning, [$_5$:] or [$_5$,] in more, [$_{u:}$] in do, [J] in come, [$_{5}$:] in work? 1. The letter o was originally written in English for the short und the long o vowels. In present-day English this letter still stands to pot, sorry, and others. But in most of those words where 5 – centuries ago o was read [$_5$:] it is now read [ou], as in ago, alone of other words. This is a result of the Great Vowel Shift — a channel in long vowels in the course of which they became closer (narrowor) and changed to diphthongs. In particular, [$_5$:] became [o:] (which is pronounced with the tongue raised higher in the mouth narrower than passage between the tongue and the roof of the mouth narrower than in pronouncing [$_5$:]), and then, narrowing still more at the ond changed to [ou] in the 19th century.

2. As a matter of fact, a close long o vowel [o:] also existed in Middle English. It sounded rather like [u:] and, becoming null closer, actually changed to [u:] as early as the 15th century. This [u] is mostly spelt oo (see answer to question 19 in this chapter). Only in some words (do, move, prove, approve, improve, shoe, tomb, two, who) it is spelt o. So, these words are written with o because once they were pronounced with [o:]. But now the letter o is road [u:] because Middle English [o:] has become [u:].

To lose is pronounced with [u:] for o, in all probability, under the influence of the adjective loose and the verbs loose and loosen. 3. In combination with the following [r] both the short and the

long o vowels developed into present-day English [5:]. The short [5] became long with the weakening and loss of the following [r]. This happened where [r] was not followed by a vowel that is, at the end of the word or before a consonant, as in for, on born, corn, form, horn, horse, looming, order, port, sort, sport storm, torn, and so on. That's why or in such words is read [5:].

The combination of the long [5:] with the following [r] from changed to a diphthong ending in [a], as did combinations of other long vowels with [r]. In the pronunciation of most English speakers [5*] is simplified to [5:]. But the pronunciation [5*] is also possible

in which with $\mathbf{o} + \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}$ vowel letter, especially mute \mathbf{e} , as in bore, but down, more, ore, sore, store. So, the letter combination ore can be read both [2:] and [2_o].

In our words, such as above, among, become, come, honey, on, sponge, ton, tongue, wonder, worry, which come of langlish, and colour, comfort, company, constable, tom, fromt, govern, money, onion, stomach, from Old the letter o is read [JI]. Such words were once pronounced the wowel was written u: cuman (come), sunu (some), wundor (wonder), and so on. But this made reading when u came before letters consisting, like u itself, of bottom, such as n and m, and also in the neighbourhood of when these were written u and uu: in Middle Ages v and u was a double u (uu). In medieval writing vertical word of the this fff.

in people bit at the idea of writing **o** instead of **u** in such words, month actually the words were pronounced with [u]: **comen** (come) bunner(n)], etc.

the multer of fact, the idea did not come from the English themelves. The spelling ofor [u] was introduced by the tenth (not Introduction) [o] became very close, practically to [u]. But o continued to be written by tradition. So it quite mutural to use the spelling o for [u] when it made

How were, that in some words where, **o** is now the once stood for an **o** sound in English. Such words as **three were**, **Monday, month, mother, none, nothing, one, nother were**, **none**, **nothing, one, nother were** in Middle English they were with [o:]. In the 15th century [o:] changed to a closer build this was shortened; during the next century to [u]: in the next century to [u]: in that are formed with the tip of the tongue at or

near the teeth (they are called dental consonants). In the word, Monday and month, and perhaps in other words as well, the Old English [o:] may have been shortened to [u] earlier in Middle English.

In twopence and twopenny the long vowel [u:] from Middle English [o:] was also shortened, because this stressed vowe wan followed by two unstressed syllables in twopenny and by a rather "heavy" syllable with a consonant group at the end in twopence. In both words the part which followed the stressed syllable claimed a considerable share of the energy that went into making the word as a whole, and this made the stressed vowel shorter.

In all the words discussed here the shortened vowel like the Middle English [u], has changed to [JI], so that the letter **o**, once written for a long **o** vowel, is now read [JI].

6. Knowing that in Middle English times **o** was often written instead of **u** after **w** (as in the word **wonder** already mentioned), it is not difficult to guess why the letter combination **or** after **w** is read [ə:] in **word**, **work**, **world**, **worm**, **worse**, **worst**, **worship**, **worth**, **worthy**. Though spelt with **or** these words were pronounced with [ur] in those Middle English dialects their standard modern form come from (they were also spelt with **ur** in Middle English), and in Moden English [ur] changed to [ə:].

For a somewhat similar reason or is read [a:] in the word attorney (of French origin), even though here there is m w before or. In Middle English and at the beginning of the Modom Period the word was pronounced with [ur] and often written aturn (as in Old French). But the spelling with o got the preference because the Latin verb from which the old French word atorne of aturne originated is spelt attornare. So now attorney is spelt with or but pronounced with [a:], like turn. This pronunciation is supported by the interpretation of the word as meaning "one who acts in the turn of another": that is precisely the way the word in interpreted in some law dictionaries.

10. Why is u read [u] in put, but [JI] in cut, [ju:] in duty, |u:| in

[jue] in during, [ue] in jury, [e:] in fur?

U was originally written in English for the short and the long u

In present-day English the letter **u** still stands for [u] in **bull**, **bulletin**, **bulletin**, **bullion**, **bully**, **bulwark**, **bush**, **butcher**, **lull**, **bulletin**, **pull**, **pulpit**, **push**, **puss**, **put**, and a few other words. If the compare these words to one another, you will find they all have then these words to one another, you will find they all have the common: a labial consonant before[u]. In pronouncing the common slips are more or less rounded, and so they are in the product of the consonant helps as it were been the following vowel rounded.

In those words which had no labial consonant before |u|, e.g. **constant**, **duck**, **dust**, **hut**, **judge**, **jump**, **just**, **lunch**, **nut**, **run**, **sunner**, **sun**, **supper**, **uncle**, **under**, and others, [u] more unrounded and changed to [JI]. The letter **u** is still written in the words, but it is now read [a] instead of [u].

touch for [J1] after a labial consonant. Probably, in most cases this mine, hulk, butter, button, fun, pulp, puzzle, and a lew others, u in the two explained as a spelling pronunciation: the Latin word the time 10th century in the written form which suggested the mutum, colloquially shortened to bus, first appeared in English as in the form a weak-stressed syllable of omnibus. But [JI] in bus in here been favoured by the word being usually unstressed in module, pulse) the reading [JI] for u may be a "spelling much of a more or less bookish character (such as public, publish, in [31] without exceptions, even after labial consonants. In munutation comes from a type of English speech in which [u] contention [contibuls]. induce. The unrounding of [u] after the labial consonant in but and a similar explanation might apply to the word bus, which it is usually read that way in stressed closed munutation"; u may be read [JI] in spite of the preceding labial As a matter of fact, in some words, namely, bud, budge, bulb,

must also be noted that [u] has changed to [JI] after the labial much, mud, must.

the alphabetical reading of the letter **u** as [ju:] can be used in the following way.

86

After the conquest of Britain by the French-speaking Normana large number of words came into English from French. In those words (as well as in Latin words, which were read after the French fashion) the letter **u** often stood for [y:]. This vowel had also existed in Old English, but in most parts of England it had changed to [1(1)] by the beginning of the Middle English period. So, most English people no longer used the sound [y:] in their speech and in worth from French and Latin they pronounced [iu] instead. For instance the French word **due** came to be pronounced [diu] in English. A [iu] changed to [ju:], the letter **u** came to be read [ju:] in words [liu] **amuse, duke, duty, music, mute, numerous, pupil, pursue [pa'sju:], student, tube, union, unity, use, usual, and so on**.

After [4], [f], [r], and mostly also after [1], the consonant []] in lost (it merges with the preceding consonant). That's why the letter **u** is read [u:], instead of [ju:], after the letters **j**, **ch**, **r**, **l**, an in **jubilee**, **June**, **parachute**, **rude**, **rule**, **true**, **truth**, **blue**, **flu**.

Incidentally, in **blue** (from French **bleu**), **true** and **truth** (from Old English **treowe** and **treowth**) **u** is written in place of Middle English **ew**, which also came to be read [iu] and then [ju:] (not answer to question 17 in this chapter).

It should be obvious from what has been said here that the letter **u** is now read [u:] in those words which at one time word pronounced with [iu]. As for the words that had [u:] in Old and Middle English, they are now spelt with **on** or **ow**, but not **u**, and read with [au] in place of [u:] (e. g. **house, how** — see answers to questions 21 and 23 in this chapter).

4. In combination with the following [r] long [u:] has been replaced by [ua] in Modern English, as explained in answer to question 2.2 in this chapter, with the result that the letter u followed by r before a vowel letter is read [jua], as in cure, curious, during furious, pure, security, and other words, or [ua] (after j, r, unally after I, and sometimes after [[]], as in jury, rural, plural, sure.
5. When the letter u in combination with r is not followed by another vowel letter (that is, at the end of a word or before a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security is a security of the end of a word or before a security of the end of a word or before a security of the end of a word or before a security of the end of the security of the security of the end of the security of the security of the end of the end

another vowel letter **u** in combination with **r** is not followed manother vowel letter (that is, at the end of a word or before a consonant), it is read [a:], as in **burn**, **burst**, **curtain**, furniture, nurse, purpose, purse, turn, and so on. [a:] in much

where of the following [r] (it began to be formed closer to the entry where [r] is produced, that is, not at the back, but in the central net of the mouth, and with a wider air passage between the tongue and the moof of the mouth, and [r] in turn was weakened to [a], but the merged with the preceding vowel into a new long vowel

Why h y used both as a vowel and a consonant letter in the hand why is the vowel letter y read like i?

The letter y has a curious history. Historically speaking, it is because letter as Russian and Uzbek y, although its reading is so block from that of our y. Both the Slavic and the English y can used back to the Greek alphabet.

In thock, **V**, **V** was at first written for [u(:)], as **y** is in Russian. In thock [u(:)] changed to [y(:)] (the type of vowel described the length of the letter **Y** came not directly from Greek, but have of old England adapted the Latin alphabet for Old within, they used this letter for Old English [y] and [y:], they have that it stood for that type of vowel in Greek. But of time the Old English **y** vowels, which were pronounced by how that it stood for the same sounds as **i**.

In wonder, then, that during the Middle English period and in him times, as late as the 17th century, y was regarded not as the in the own right, but rather as a peculiar way of writing **i**.

in the line of the words **many** and **time** were also written when the two spelt i or y is still read practically the same as i, and the two signs now differ somewhat in their use and are and are two different letters.

In many, and usually also for [i] (which is unstressed), as in the mely found at the end of a word nowadays, and then it the mely for an unstressed vowel, usually [i], as in **taxi**, but not

for a stressed [ai]. Y has long been preferred in word-final, being larger and more ornamental than i. It was also treated in Middle English and in early Modern English as a sort of double i (*ii-ii*), and rather y, for both signs, i and j, regarded as variants of the letter i were usually written without the dot as late as the 15th century) and was therefore often used to spell the long i vowel, which changed in [ij] and then to a diphthong, now pronounced [ai].

It is interesting to note that in the name of the month July stands for the **ii** of the Latin genitive case Julii (in the ancient Roman calendar it was the month of Julius, so named after Julius Caesar), and that words like **melody** were pronounced with the diphthong at the end in early Modern English. The pronunciation ['melodai] is still found in the 18th 19th century poetry, and the 10th century poetry william Blake obviously intended symmetry to rhyme with **eye** in these well-known lines: Tiger! Tiger! Burning bright

In the forests of the night,

What immortal hand or eye

Could frame thy fearful symmetry?

The use of **y** for the vowels [i] and [ai] in non-final polymore (not at the end of a word) is less frequent.

As for the consonantal use of **y** in English to spell [j], it would look so surprising if we consider that [j] is not an ordinany consonant but a semivowel, that is, a vowel-like sound. Indeed, in making [i], and a weak unstressed [i] followed by another vowel often does not make a syllable, and easily becomes [j]. So it often happens that **i** is actually read [j], as for instance, in **union** ['jumpin]

In Middle English, as in Old and Medieval Latin, i was within both for [i (:)] and [j], there was no special letter for [j], and y, have regarded as just a variant form of i, was used in the same way in Middle English writing. For instance, **yes** was spelt either as it now or **ies**. In Modern English **y** is not written bfor every []], have only for the stable [j], which does not develop from an unstreamed [] before a vowel and does not change back to [i] in very slow and careful pronunciation. Such a stable [j] is found before vowels at the

second that is precisely where y is a solution of a syllable, and that is precisely where y is a solution of the standing for [j], as in year and lawyer.

Why do we read ai, ay and ey like ei and in the same wny as

I domembering that in Middle and early Modern English y was replied an just another way of writing i, one should not be included to find that **ay** is read in the same way as **ai**, and **ey** is read the dompare **day** and **daily**, **they** and **eight**. But why should **ay** and the read like **ci** and like the letter **a**? The answer is as follows.

In Middle English the spellings **ai**, **ay**, on the one hand, and **ei**, the other, were at first used for different diphthongs: [ai] (as duyl and [ei] (as in **wei**, **wey**). But later in the Middle below the diphthongs coincided in one, pronounced [sci] (with [a] produced close to the front part of the mouth where the diphthong was spelt **ey**, **ei**, or more commonly, but the word **once** written **wei** or **wey** began to be spelt **way**.

Inter the diphthong seems to have changed to a long word, at the weak unstressed second part of the diphthong the energy no longer spent on it went to make the vowel longer. Something similar is happening in pronunctation today: for instance, fire is pronounced the Middle English diphthong coincided with the built of fraie]. In Modern English the vowel which the Middle English diphthong coincided with the the built of the english diphthong coincided with the built of the development the built of the development of the development that is why we now read **ai**, **ay**, **ei** and **ey** in one and (c), which is also the alphabetical reading of the the pairs of words such as **tail** and **tale**, **veil** and **vale**

why do we read the digraphs au and aw as [2:]?

An and aw are such combinations in Modern English: the

letters **a** and **u**, or **a** and **w**, are not read separately, but together spell one vowel [\Im :].

A person who does not know English, but knows the Laun alphabet and can read Latin or, say, German, would most likely multhe letter combination **au** as [au]. And that is how **au** was in the read in earlier English, even as late as some four centuries ago. But the difference between the two elements of the diphthong [au] diminished, as its first element became more like the second, and the second, more like the first, till [au] was simplified into [$_3$:]. The digraph **au**, however, continued to be written for the new simplivowel. So, **au** came to be read [$_3$:], as in **audience**, **Aunot author, autumn, cause, because, fault, pause,** and so on, because the diphthong [au], for which it used to be written, changed to [$_3$:].

The letter combination **aw** was originally written for the norm combination [aw]. But Old English [w] after a vowel changed to [u] It was not much of a change really, because [w] and [u] (when u does not form a syllable) are very similar sounds. Anyway, an result of this change the spelling **aw** came to stand for [au]. It began also to be used in words where the diphthong [au] did not comfrom Old English [aw] but had a different origin. Aw was still non-[au] at the beginning of the Modern Period. Naturally, when [au] [au] at the beginning of the Modern Period. Naturally, when [au] changed to [$_5$:], the digraph **aw** which continues to be written to the new simple vowel in words like **crawl, draw, gnaw, jaw, law lawn, raw, saw, straw**, came to be read [$_5$:], just as the digraph **a** did.

Why are the digraphs ea, ee, ei, ie all read [i:]?

All these digraphs came into use in Middle English. They want used to spell the long e vowels described in answer to question 7. An explained there, the Middle English long e vowels have changed in fi:1

That's why the digraphs ea, ee, ei, ie now stand for [i:] in the words where they continue to be written, such as appeal, how cease, cheap, clean, cream, deal, defeat, dream, each, cour eagle, east, easy, eat, feat, feature, heap, heat, lead (name a action, not that of a metal), leaf, league, leap, least, leave, most mean, meat, neat, peace, please, reach, read, reason, repeat, most

> in donce, feed, feel, free, freeze, green, greet, heel, keen, how, meet, need, needle, see, seek, seem, sheep, sheet, how, meet, street, sweet, tree, week, wheel, ceiling, how, needle, seize, achieve, believe, chief, field, nicce, piece, how how, thief, yield, and the like.

Why do we read **ea** as a short vowel [e] in words like **bread** and in anne other words?

When a word is spelt with **ea**, that is a fairly sure sign that it was in (ly pronounced with a long **e** vowel, usually [e:] (described in the question 7). The letter **a** was added to **e** in the 15^{th}_{P} and, the none regularity, in the 16^{th}_{P} century to show that the *P* **e** and was long (this was symbolized by writing two letters for it) to obtain the a vowels were very open, so it was a minimal to add **a** to another letter to mark the open character of the obtained optic by that letter.

that why the digraph ea, which once stood for [e:], is now and to a tule, Modern English has [i:] in place of Middle English that why the digraph ea, which once stood for [e:], is now and to define the preceding answer). But before final to momunts, especially before [d], [O]], and less commonly [1] the long e vowel was shortened to [e], which has been defined to movel was shortened to [e], which has been defined to define the easy shortened to [e], which has been defined to define the easy shortened to define the easy of the digraph easy of the easy shortened, when a word with such a been defined, dead, dreadful, head, instead, lead (a metal), the digraph east, threat, deaf.

In broad fast, breast, cleanly (the adjective, not the adverb), and, dualt, dreamt, leant, leapt, meant, health, with the long e of (Middle English [c :]) has become short before two means (not answer to question 4).

and would now spelt with **ea** and pronounced with [e] must be had two different pronunciations in Middle and earlier Modern with a long **e** vowel reflected in the spelling **ea**, the which survives in the present-day common feature of a group of such words is that have two syllables of which the second ends, or once ended, in

a vowel-like consonant, [n] or [r]: heaven, weapon, feather leather, weather.

The stressed vowel of the first syllable seems to have been short In Middle English when the [n] or [r] was followed by (h vowel of the grammatical ending (for instance, hevenes – "u heaven, heaven's"), for in such cases the unstressed vowel before (n or [r] was usually dropped (as in hevnes), so that two consonant came after [e], and the first of them closed the syllable [e] belonged to. The vowel after [n] or [r] could also belong to the next word in connected speech, added without a pause (for instance, heven h...)

pronunciation only [e] is now heard in this word. single consonant. That explains the spelling meadow, while in nominative case maed [mx:d] and kept its vowel long before a earlier Modern English under the influence of Middle English mod end of the Old Period. However, it could also be long in Middle and long in Old English, but became short before two consonants by the a short [e], comes from Old English maedwe, an inflected form short [e] before two consonants. The word meadow, which now have influence of those cases where the word was pronounced with a most of the words discussed here, or was shortened under the though sometimes it remained short, as it had been in Old English in leaving the first syllable open, and the e vowel was long as a rule consonant following the e vowel went to the second syllable meadow and pronounced [mi:d]), which came from the Old English $[m_{\varepsilon}:d]$, Modern English mead (now used as a poetic word in (that is a form with a grammatical ending) of maxd. The vowel was English. But when no vowel followed [n] or [r] without a pause, the This also made the e vowel short in Middle and early Modern

4. Another group of words with ea read short (as [e]) are the ending in -y: heavy, ready, steady. The spelling suggests that the were pronounced in earlier English with a long e vowel, as might be expected in words of two syllables with one consonant after the two vowel: a single consonant usually went to the second syllable leaving the first syllable open and its vowel long. But the more usual pronunciation of the words in -v war and solution.

But the more usual pronunciation of the words in -y we me considering here, as far as Middle and early Modern English to

received, was that with short lel, and this is now their only accepted pronunciation.

the about [e] in **heavy** and **ready** may come from the Middle with inflected forms, where the stressed first syllable was and by two more syllables: **hevie**, **redie** (under such conditions it vowels were short in Middle English, as explained in to question 4). But other explanations have also been need of One likely explanation is that in Middle English the syllable in such words had a long vowel ([i:]) and a pullable in such words had a long vowel ([i:]) and a under word, the stressed vowel was long, as a rule, in those of two syllables where the final syllable was unstressed and but words with a "heavier" second syllable, which claimed a the of the energy allotted to the word as a whole (see to question 4.7).

the word steady was made in the 16th century, probably by the othe noun stead ("place"), which had a long e vowel at below of the Modern Period. At first steady was often used with the same vowel, which explains the ea spelling. International with short [e] became the more usual and then opticed one for this word, because other words in -y had a used, and the long vowel was no longer supported by the of the words stead and instead, in which the long e was because the final [d], as in bread.

the dout reading of ea may also be explained by earlier much between short and long e vowels in a number of words in much from French in Middle English, such as jealous, treachery, peasant, pheasant, pleasant, pleasant, income, treasure, and some others.

but, and [a] in words like **earth**?

the max words, e. g. appear, beard, clear, dear, ear, fear, max, tear (meaning "a drop of salty water that comes not more in a more of action), weary, year, but [ie] in others,

such as **bear** (name of animal as well as the verb **to bear**), point **swear**, **tear** ("pull to pieces or make a hole"), **wear**.

Now, it ought to be clear from the explanations in answern in questions 2.2 and 7.4 that [ε_s] comes from [$\varepsilon_{:}$ + r], and [ia] from [i + r]. That, in its turn, suggests that **ea** + **r** is read [ia] in those would an which long **e** had changed to [i:] by the time when [r] weakened and an [9] sound developed between it and the preceding vowel while [ea] is now pronounced in words which had [$\varepsilon_{:}$] at that time It was explained in answer to question 7 that of the Middle English long **e** vowels the close [e:] changed to [i:] as early as the 150 century, and the open [$\varepsilon_{:}$] remained an **e** vowel as late as the 150 century. So, it would seem that [ia] should now be pronounced in Middle English, and [$\varepsilon_{:}$], in those words which had [$\varepsilon_{:}$] in Middle English, and [$\varepsilon_{:}$], in those with Middle English [$\varepsilon_{:}$].

But in fact things are not as simple as that. Indeed, those worth where ea is now read [ϵ_{o}] had [ϵ_{c}] in Middle English. On the other hand, some of the words that now have [ia] are also found in Middle English with open [ϵ_{c}]. The probable explanation of this irregulation is that one and the same word might be pronounced with the more open vowel in some parts of the country, in some varieties of the English language, and with the closer one in others. Sometimes the pronunciation with the diphthong [ia], which developed from the closer vowel, was accepted as standard by educated people in land Modern English, although the word had a more open vowel in earlier London English.

In some words, such as **earn**, **earth**, **learn**, **search**, and a four others, the letter combination **ear** is read [a :]. The spelling in shows that these words had a long **e** vowel in the 16th century (an answer to question 15). But this pronunciation was replaced by un with short [e], as was to be expected before two consonants, and [a] in combination with the following [r] changed to [a :] (see anywork to questions 2.2 and 7.3 in this chapter).

The word year can also be pronounced with [a :]: [je:] (this is actually the more common pronunciation). Here [a:] developed from [ia], as the stress was shifted from the first part of the diphthom in the second: [a] became long under stress, and the unstressed [iii]

the that vowel changed to [j], which merged with the older [j] the read began with. The change of [ia] to [ja] is very similar to the benchment of [iu] to [ju:] described in answer to question 5.

Why do we read both eu and ew as n ([(j)u:])?

In Middle English the letter combinations **eu** and **ew** were find for feul, just as both **au** and **aw** stood for faul, and **ou**, **ow**, foil for instance, the word spelt **fewe** (few) was pronounced that that [eu] changed to [iu] as [e] became closer, and [iu] however, is still **eu** (in learn ed words of Latin and Greek but however, is still **eu** (in learn ed words of Latin and Greek but how words, such as **dew**, **few**, **knew**, **new**, but also in but words from French, for instance, **view**).

In the model of older words, **eu** is read [ju:] in words of which came into English later in the Modern Period, e.

A the letter **u** was also written in Middle English and at the during beginning of the Modern Period for [iu] which changed to be the answer to question 10.3 in this chapter), this leter and the apple up and ew all stand for the same sounds.

I to the letter u, and for the same reasons, the digraph ew is (u) (without (j)) after ch, j, r, and often after l, as in chew, letter, orew, blew, and eu is read [u:] after rh and often after l, letter, blew, and eu is read [u:] after rh and often after l,

the life letter **u** (see answer to question 10.4), both digraphs to a life life letter **r**, as in **Europe**, sewer.

Why do we read the digraph oa as o ([ou]), and oar as or ([>

I have already been mentioned in answer to question 9 in the that in Middle English there were two different long **o** the lone [o:] and the open [$_5$:]. The closer vowel changed in the 15th century, while the more open remained an **o** to illutinguish the two vowels in writing, the closer one was pell **m**, and the more open was often spelt **oa** in the 16th in the 16th and the letter **a** was used to

indicate the more open character of the vowel spelt **o**, for a incluspelt open vowels.

In the course of the Modern English period [o:] changed in [o:], and this to [ou], as explained in answer to question 9.1. So, now the digraph oa, where it is still written (as in **approach**, **boat**, **coul**, **coast**, **coat**, **foal**, **foam**, **goal**, **gmt**. **load**, **loan**, **oat**, **oath**, **roud**, **roast**, **soap**, **throat**, **toast**), is read [ou], like the letter **o** in wordh with a mute final **e** — most such words also had [₅ :] in Middle English (see answer lo question 9).

2. In combination with the following $[r] [_{5}]$ developed to $[_{5a}]$ (see answer to question 2.2), and [09] easily changes to the simple long vowel [5:]. That's why the letter combination our to now read $[_{5}:]$, as in **board**, **hoarse**, **oar** and a few other words, where **r** is not read by itself (because the sound [r] has been lost, but indicates that **oa** stands for [o:].

It may be of interest to note that in the word **coarse** the vown [2:] spelt **oa** comes from Middle English [u:], which became more open before [r], changing to [o:], and then to [2:]. By origin, it is the same word as **course**, which has also changed its pronunciation from [ku:rs] to [k₂:s], but keeps its French spelling.

Why is oo read [u:] (as in moon), [u] (as in book), and [,] (iii blood and flood)?

 The digraph oo came into use in Middle English. It was used to distinguish the long o vowels from the short [2].

In Middle English **oo** was written for both long **o** vowuln mentioned in answer to question 9 in this chapter: the close [o:] and the open [5:].

But in the 15th century the close [o:], becoming still cloud, changed to [u:], so that the difference between the two vowell became more obvious, and in the 16^{th} century **oo** is the usual spelling for the closer vowel, while the more open vowel (Middle English [$_5$:]), is spelt **oa** (as explained in answer to the preceduation question) or **o**. As a result of these developments in pronunciation and spelling, **oo** is now read [u:], for instance, in **boot**, **cool**, **foot**, **fool**, **goose**, **hoof**, **loose**, **mood**, **moon**, **noon** (and, of course)

itternum), pool, proof, roof, root, shoot, soon, spoon, too, tool, mult, troops, and so on.

In the 16th century [u:] (like [ε :], on which see answer to quarter (5) was shortened in some words before dental consonants. I do the older [u], the new [u] was unrounded to [$_{\Lambda}$] (see answers to quarter 0.5 and 10.2 in this chapter). Naturally, in those words of the obortened and unrounded vowel is spelt **oo**, this digraph is new read [$_{\Lambda}$] blood [b] $_{\Lambda}$ d], flood [fl $_{\Lambda}$ d].

In some other words [u:] changed to short [u] later, in the life conturies, before [k] and in a few words before [t], [d], **hund, brook, cook, crook, hook, look, rook, shook, took, hund, hood, stood**. In these words the short [u] has not mounded (the early Modern English unrounding of [u] was before [u:] had changed to [u]). So, here the digraph **oo**, before [u:], is now read [u].

the dynaph **oo** is also read [u] in the words **wood** and **wool**. In biomodered here: they had [u], not [o:], in Old and Middle and undwere spelt with **u**. This spelling was replaced by **oo** and the influence of those words in which [u] developed from [o:]. It is anted, though, that in some parts of the country wood was provide with a long close vowel [o:] changing to [u:] in late

why is over read [5 :] in door and floor, but [u5] in poor?

From what was said in answer to questions 2.2 and 19 we had a pool oo in combination with the following \mathbf{r} to be read [u_a be could poll with **oo** were pronounced in Middle English with the harrowed to [u:] in the 15th century, and later in Modern model the nound combination [u:r] I to [u_a(r)].

How, the letter combination **oor** is indeed read $[u_{\circ}]$ in **poor**, in the and floor the same letter combination is read $[_{\circ}:]$ or $[_{\circ}_{\circ}]$ the aphinuton of this reading is to be sought in the influence of a change [t] on the preceding vowel in Modern English: it be the vowel more open. For one thing, it changed the preceding [r] he an a vowel, and this developed to $[_{\circ}_{\circ}]$ as the following [r]be an unit way lost (see answer to question 2.2). With most

English people $[5_{\circ}]$ has changed to the simple long vowel $[_{5}:]$, and nowadays the most common pronunciation of the two words $[d_{3}:]$ and $[fl_{5}:]$.

The present-day English pronunciation of the word door may also come in part from a Middle English form with [o:] in place of [o:].

As a matter of fact, **poor** is also pronounced by a good many people with $[2_{\circ}]$ and $[_{\circ}:]$: $[p_{2_{\circ}}, p_{\circ}:]$. The more usual pronunciation [puel probably comes from a dialect which kept the closer vowel before [r]. Perhaps the labial consonant [p] helped to keep it: after all, [u] survives after [p] in **pull**, **put** and other words.

Why is ou read [au] (as in house), [u:] (as in soup), [$_{\Lambda}$] (as in enough), [ou] (as in shoulder), [$_{2}$:] (as in thought)?

1. Most of the various readings of the digraph **ou** mentioned in this question have their origin in the French and Middle English une of the digraph for the long **u** vowel.

In Old English [u:] was spelt **u** (or **u**, with an accent mark to show that the vowel was long). But in the Middle English period, after the conquest of England by the French-speaking Normans, ou began to be written for this vowel, as in French. For instance, the words which in Old English were spelt **hus**, **mus** began to be spelt **hous**, **mous**, later **house**, **mouse**.

In the so-called Great Vowel Shift (see answer to question 1) the simple vowel [u:] changed to a diphthong: the difference between the beginning and the end of the vowel increased, the beginning becoming more and more open, till [u:] changed to [au] But the spelling ou remains unchanged. That's why ou is read [au] in words like **about**, **cloud**, (i(>iini'il, count, doubt, fountain ground, house, loud, mouse, mouth, noun, out, pound, round, scout, shout, south, fliinisii/nl, trousers, and so on. 2. Words which have come into English from foreign languages (especially French) in modern times, when Middle English [u:] had

Aready changed to a diphthong, usually keep their **u** vowel. In such words **ou** is read [u:], e. g. in **group, soup, route** (from French), **rouble** (from Russian), and a few others.

> the digraph **ou** is also read [u:] in some old English words. He words **you and youth** have kept their simple long vowel [u:] them an earlier **foul**) since Middle English times because of the model (b) [i] is a close sound, and it made the beginning of the model closer, changing [ou] to [u:] and then preventing [u:] from hending to [au].

It wound (noun and verb) [u:] has survived since Old English it has not changed to [au], because the preceding [w] kept the output of the vowel close and rounded. But when **wound** is the ball base of the participle of the verb **to wind**, it is pronounced and have ball probably after the analogy (under the influence) of such based and **found**.

In more words which had [u:] spelt **ou** in Middle English, the **u** barrier abort. In words like **enough**, **rough**, **tough** this may barrier because of the following labiodental (lip-teeth) in the barrier because the stressed syllable containing the vowel [u:] followed by two unstressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the stressed syllables in this stressed syllables in the Middle English more than the stressed syllables in the stressed syllables in this stressed syllables in the stressed syllables in t

In the short [u] was unrounded to [_n]. That is how the which continues to be written in these words, came to the vowel [u] spelt **ou** was also unrounded in some unple, **courage**, **cousin**, **double**, **nourish**, **touch**, tuple, the digraph **ou** now stands for [_n] in these words

In model (\mathbf{u}) for **ou** has different origins in different words. In the **divertified of the spelling ou** was introduced for the full which developed in Middle English from an earlier **o** the glide [u] that arose between that vowel and the pelt **gh**. In **mould** — "loose earth" (Middle English puttorn, form for molten metal" (Middle English **modle**, and **moulder** (Middle English **smolder**) the glide [u] between [$_{3}$] and the so-called "dark" [I], just as it did holloween [$_{3}$] and the so-called "dark" [I], just as it did

In the word **shoulder** the first vowel was [u] in Old and English. Towards the end of the Middle English period this [u] changed to an **o** vowel and a glide [u] developed between it and [l] (as in **mould**), forming a diphthong, for which **ou** has been written in **shoulder** ever since.

In **poultry** the diphthong [ou] developed before the "dark" [1] in practically the same way, only in this word the short [u] which changed to [o] came from a French **u** vowel (**poultry** is a word of French origin) shortened in Middle English before a group of consonants (on such shortening see answer to question 4 in this chapter).

In **soul** [ou] comes from the Middle English diphthong [50], which developed from Old English [a:w], as OE [a:] changed to a closer back vowel [5:] (it has long been characteristic of English long vowels that they become closer in course of time), and Old English [w] after a vowel changed to [u] (see answer to question 1) in this chapter), which did not muke a separate syllable, but formed a diphthong with the preceding vowel.

Why is ou + r read [auə] (as in our), [u_a] (as in tour), [₃:] (an in court), [₃:] (as in journey)?

 In combination with the following r ou is read [aua] in thome words where Middle English [u:] underwent the usual change to [au] (as described in answer to the preceding question), for instance, in flour, hour, our, sour, and so on. The glide [a] resulted from the weakening of [r] (see answer to 2.2 in this chapter).

2. In some words taken over from French when Middle English [u:] had already changed to [au] (or at least was well on the way to becoming [au]), the vowel [u:] (spelt **ou**) did not take part in this development, and in combination with him following [1] changed to [u_a] (on the origin of the diphthong [ue] (see answern to questions 2.2 and 10.4). That's why the letter combination **our** is read [u_a] in words of French origin like **tour, tourist, bourgeols**.

3. In still other words, both of French and of "Anglo-Saxon" (Old English) origin, Middle English [u:] became a more open vowel, an o vowel, before [r]. In Modern English this long o vowel

in combination with [r] developed to which in turn was simplified to [] (not answer to question 20 in this chapter).

that why ou + r is now read [5:] it such words as course,

In the word four ou must have been written originally for Multh English [ou], which also changed to [5:] in combination with the following [r].

In a few words from French (**adjourn**, **courteous**, **lournal**, **journey**, **scourge**) the letter combination **our** bundle for [1,], which, of course, has developed from earlier [ur] move to question 10.5). It seems that Middle English the **u** and apelt on in these words could be either short or long. In the unit day lenglish the word **courteous** and **courtesy** are often the unit of with [1, 5:] for **our**. This [-5:] probably comes from length [u:r], as in **court**.

Why in the digraph **ow** read [au] in some words and [ou] in

the letter combination **ow** has had two different readings since

In Muldle English **ow** was written, on the one hand, for the holden load or rather [$_{2}$ u] (with a more open first part than in Fourth bound combinations [ow], [o:w], [a:w] (see answers to be used 14 and 21.4 in this chapter) and in others had a different the other hand, was often used instead of the digraph **ou** bound to question 21).

the quilling ow was especially preferred in word-final (as in much because w, being taller and more ornamental than **u**, marked more distinctly.

tool [u1] has become [au] in Modern English, the digraph ow is tool [u1] in those words where it stood for [u] in Middle and an allow, brown, cow, coward, crowd, crown, towl, frown, how, howl, now, owl, powder, power, towl, town, vowel. But in those words where ow was the [ou], [ou] in Middle English, it is now read [ou], as in flow, glow, grow, know, low, mow, owe, own, row

	letter a? 13. Why do we read the digraphs au and aw as [o:]?
	and why is the vower letter y read like it? 12. Why do we read ai, ay and ey like ei and in the same way as the
	11. Why is y used both as a vowel and a consonant letter in English
	read [u] in put, but [a] in cut, [ju:] in duty, [u:] in rule, [jua] in
	9. Why is o read [o] in box, but [ou] in home, [D:] in morning, [11] or [oa] in more, [u:] in do, [JI] in come, [a:] in work? 10. Why in a
	first, [aia] in irony?
	hero, [eə] in there") 8. Why is i read [i] in sit, but [ai] in time, [i:] in machine, [əː] iii
	7. Why is e read [e] in bed, but [i:] in evening, [a:] in her, [ia] in
	rast, nan, uance), [cə] (as m mary), [v] (as m want), [v.] (as m war. all. walk)?
	6. Why is a read [m] (as in back), [ei] (as in name), [a:] (as in turn fort balf dense) [col (co in More) [col (co in wont) [col (m)]
	they are called in the alphabet?
	5. Why are vowel letters in words like child, find, comb read as
	4. When are English vowel letters read short?
Turning W	3. When and why are English vowel letters read as they are called in the alphabet?
Why is	different words?
int in Journey)?	2. Why is the reading of English vowel letters quite different in
a f tend (ano) (as	word?
roomath), [ou] (as	1. When and why don't we read the letter \mathbf{e} at the end of an English
II Why is an or	Suggested accomments on chanter IV
COOL NUM IN USER OF	REVISION MATERIAL
H. Why is oo rea	
In Why do we rea	(spelt bowen), and [bou] (spelt bowe) in Middle English.
17 Why do we rea	arrows" sounds [bou]: [bau] comes from Middle English ['bu: and
the hear, and for	while the word with the same meaning "weapon for shooting
in why do we re-	Knowing all this, it should be no surprise to you that the word how meaning "bend the head or hody" is now pronounced [baul.
In Why do we rea	show, slow, snow, sow, throw.
11 Why are the di	(both "people or things in a line" and "movea boat with oars"),

It why are the digraphs ea, ee, ei, ie all read [i:]?

Why do we read **ca** as a short vowel [e] in words like **bread** and unme other words?

Why do we read ea + r as [ia] in words like hear, [ea] in words hear, and [a:] in words like earth?

Why do we read both eu and ew as u ([(j)u:])?

Why do we read the digraph oa as o ([ou]), and oar, as or ([o:])? Why have read [u:], (as in moon), [u] (as in book), and [JI] (in and and flood)?

Why is outer read [o:] indoor and floor, but [ua] in poor?

Why have read [au] (as in house), [u:] (as in soup), [a] (as in much), [ou] (as in shoulder), [o:] (as in thought)? 22 Why is ou t read [au] (as in our), [u2] (as in tour), [5:] (as in court), [5:] (as in court), [5:]

Why is the digraph ow read [au] in some words and [ou]

GLOSSARY OF SPECIAL TERMS

Back vowels and consonants:

are [u:], [u], [D:], [D], [a:]; the back consonants are [k], [g], [n]. in the back part of the mouth. In Modern English the back vowelli Close vowels (also called high vowels): Vowels and consonants produced with the bulk of the tongue

what distinguishes close vowels from the so-called open ones. tongue and the roof of the mouth is rather close (narrow). That in rather high in the mouth, so that the passage for the air between the Vowels (such as [i:], [i], [u:] [u]]) made with the tongue raised

referred to as the open long e and o vowels. with the tongue higher in the mouth), and [o:], closer than [D:], so [e:] and [o:] are called the close long e and o, while [e:] and [D:] are nor quite open. But [e:] was closer than [e:] (that is, pronounced vowels [e:], [e :], [o:], [O:] in earlier English were neither quite close There are various degrees of closeness and openness. The

narrows to [i:]. narrowing of the vowel, as when [e :] narrows to [e:], and [e] In course of time a vowel may become closer. This is called

Dental consonants:

more accurate name for them is alveolar consonants somewhat behind them, at the so-called teeth-ridge or alveoli, A pronounced with the tip of the tongue not exactly at the teeth, but the Russian and Uzbek dental consonants [T], [J], [H], [c], [3], un dental in Modern English. English [t], [d], [n], [s], and [z], unlike or near the teeth. Strictly speaking, only [0] and [p] should be called Consonants made with the tip or the front part of the tongue at

Dialect (local dialect):

the country. The form of a language spoken in a certain (definite) part of

Digraph:

inclution of a consonant, e.g. au and aw for [D:], ow for [ou] and and, alt for [] , and so on. A combination of two letters standing for a simple vowel, a

Traverseeeeee

and the muans of speech gradually changing their position and the h helongs to one syllable, such as [ei], [ai], [Di], [ou], [au], [i a], A close combination of two vowel elements which is produced

und in Modern English.

t it affect consonants (fricatives):

in find of the mouth), as stop consonants are, but by the air runned by the organs of speech (the lips, the teeth, the tongue and and the investment them. It is the noise of the friction that is heard as a in affect consonant, such as [f], [v], [e], and through a narrow opening between speech organs and Community produced not by the air breaking through a stop

HE TO LEAD UT LAND VITAMON DUNIE

1. IN. IN and paid of the mouth. In Modern English the front vowels are: [i:l, Yuwals produced with the bulk of the tongue moved to the

ment a whattle and often makes a diphthong with the neighbouring and an [a] in during ['djuarin] or [u] in gold [gould]. very short weak vowel or vowel-like sound which does not

attent remonants (lip consonants):

in the treath and the lower lip [[f] and [v]). i momunts formed by the lips (such as [p], [b], [m], [w]) or by

the letters (also called silent letters):

NUT AVAILABLE IN A PARTY AND Fitters which are not read (pronounced).

Wouch which have not come into English from any foreign

tion towers (also called broad or low):

had a li made with the tongue lying low in the mouth, so that a Yuwelh (such as Modern English [xk], [a:] and earlier English

wide passage is left for the air between the tongue and the roof of the mouth. Compare what is said about close vowels. Rounded (labialized) vowels:

Vowels made with the lips rounded, such as [u:], [u], [3], [3] A rounded vowel can become unrounded in course of time, as did English [u], which changed to [A]. This is called the **unrounding** of a vowel. On the other hand, vowels can become rounded under the influence of neighbouring sounds, as when English [a] changed to [3] after [w].

(A) hissing (consonant). The sibilant consonants in English are
 [s], [z], [f]], [f]], [fg]

Sibilant:

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

harter Ch. Farly Modern English. - London, 1976. hondt R. Hufuhrung in das Studium des Mittelenglischen. - Halle

Humm Th. Historical Linguistics. - Cambridge, 1977.

Technical A. Old English Grammar. – London, 1959.

todown, H. J. English Pronunciation 1500-1700, 2 vols. - Oxford,

1. Apolite der englischen Sprachgeschichte. –Tubingen, 1977.
1. Hum 1. hume Thoughts on the Function of Word Order in Old
1. Hum 1. 1977 pp. 72-100.

Front 1 A Short Grammar of Middle English, Part One: Graphemics, Bostonica and Morphemics. – Warsaw, 1968.

Second Head and Middle English. Some Socially Motivated human in the History of English, 1977, pp. 247-259.

in the Ch. On the development of the structural use of word-order in the third hill hill Language, 16 (1940), pp. 199-208.

the state of the s

Hour Lahurt Horn, W. Laut und Leben. Englische Lautgeschichte Konten Zeit (1400-1950), bearbeitet und herausgegeben von Martin Herlin, 1954.

1. A Hutory of the English Language. – Leningrad, 1973. Chakhojan L.P. Istorija anglijskogo jazyka. – M., 1976. M. An Introduction to Middle English. – L. – N.- Y., 1972.

Volumenter D. Studies in Morphology. Aspects of English and Inflection. -Tubingen, 1971.

1 A Historical Outline of English Syntax, 2 vols. -Aarhus,

M. 'Slawisches Wortgut im Englischen. Eine sprach- und Industriebenden und Studie', Sitzungsberichte der Akademie Monachaften der DDR, Gesellschaftswissenschaften, Jg. 1977.

1. John M. H. The Categories and Types of Present-Day English Turnulum, a Synchronic-Diachronic Approach. - Munchen, 1969.

21. Mustanoja Tauno F. Middle English Syntax. Part I: Parts of Speech, Helsinki, 1960.

 Pilch H. Altenglische Grammatik. Dialektologie, Phonologie Morphologie, Syntax. - Munchen, 1970.

Pinsker H. Historische Englische Grammatik. - Miinchen, 1969.
 Pinsker H., Fries U., Bierbaumer, P. Altenglisches Studienbuch.

Studienreihe Englisch Bd. 10, - Diisseldorf-Bern, 1976. 25.Plotkin, V. Y. Ocerk diachroniceskoj fonologii anglijskogo jazyka. Moskva, 1976.

26. Rybarkiewicz, W. 'The Word Order in Old English Prose and the Functional Sentence Perspective', Stadia Anglica Posnaniensia IX (1911), pp. 87-93.

Shadmanov K. Jazyk-Ideologija-Semantika. – Tashkent, 1992.
 Shadmanov K. Anglijskaja duhovnost i jazyk. – Bukhara, 2010.
 Salmon V. Sentence Structures in Colloquial Shakespearian English.

Transactions of the Philological Society, 1965, pp. 105140.
30.Samuels M. L. Linguistic Evolution with special reference un English. - Cambridge, 1975.

31.Schlauch M. The English Language in Modern Times (since 1400) Warsaw, 1959.

Scragg D. G. A History of the English Spelling. -Manchester, 1974.
 Srebrennikov B. A. et al. Obscee jazykoznanie:

formy sushestvovanija, funksii, istorija jazyka. - Moskva, 1970. 34. Swieczkowski W. Word Order Patterning in Middle English. The Hague, 1962.

35. Vachek, J., Selected Writings in English and General Linguistics Prague, 1976.

36. Visser F. Th. A Historical Syntax of the English Language, 3 parts in 4 vols. - Leiden, 1963-1973.

37. Weinstock H. Mittelenglisches Elementarbuch, Sammlung Goschen-Berlin, 1968.

 Wetna J. A Diachronic Grammar of English. Part 1: Phonology Warsaw, 1978.

39. Williams J. M. Origins of the English Language. A Social and Linguistic History. - N.-Y., - L., 1975.

CONTENTS

121	118	81	77	57	14			S	ω
is test bibliography		IV. Historical background of present-day ling of vowel letters and letter combinations		. 0		xon invasion of Britain to the	training I some aspects of the history of the language.	The second	······································
100		No.	N			IIII	IN	HIII	ATTEN OF
	_				-		-		



ASHIRBAEVA AYTKUL, OYBEK YUSUPOV, YULDASHEVA NODIRA, MUXABBAT ORIPOVA

TILI O'RGANILAYOTGAN MAMLAKAT ADABIYOT TARIXI

(DARSLIK)

Muharrir: X. Tahirov Texnik muharrir: S. Meliquziyeva Musahhih: M. Yunusova Sahifalovchi: A. Muhammad

Nashr. lits № 1940. 29.01.2022. Bosishga ruxsat etildi 01.05.2022. Bichimi 60x84 1/16. Ofset qogʻozi. "Times New Roman" garniturasi. Hisob-nashr tabogʻi. 7,5. Adadi 100 dona.

«HISTORY AND PAGE» MCHJ bosmaxonasida chop etildi, Manzil: Toshkent v., Chirchiq sh., Saodat ko'chasi, 17/1.

